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Summary and recommendations

Background

The Australian Government provides income-contingent loans to eligible students through the Higher Education Loan Program (HELP), which removes up-front cost barriers to tertiary education and training. HELP provides students with loans to cover relevant costs associated with higher education. Students are required to repay the loan(s) once their income reaches a minimum threshold.

HELP is regulated by provisions in the Higher Education Support Act 2003 and is administered by the Department of Education and Training (Education). HELP debt and repayments are collected and managed by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO). A Memorandum of Understanding sets out the arrangements between Education and the ATO in relation to the administration of HELP.

As at 30 June 2015, the value of total outstanding HELP debt was $44.1 billion, associated with approximately 2.2 million payees. The estimated value of the debt that is not expected to be collected is around $10.2 billion. This debt is projected to continue growing to approximately $67.6 billion by 2017–18, with almost 23 per cent of that debt not expected to be collected.

Audit objective and criteria

The objective of the audit was to assess the effectiveness of the administration of HELP debt and repayments. To form a conclusion against this objective the audit examined whether:

• the ATO and Education fulfil their responsibilities as set out in the Memorandum of Understanding, and Education effectively monitors HELP debt and repayments to inform program decision-making;

• the ATO effectively supports employers and payees to meet their responsibilities in relation to HELP withholdings and repayments, and detects and deters those that do not;

• the ATO accurately records debts, repayments, write-offs, waivers and deferments, and effectively collects outstanding HELP debt.

The audit did not examine other aspects of Education's administration of HELP or verify the integrity of enrolment and debt information supplied by higher education providers.

Conclusion

The Higher Education Loan Program (HELP) is a mature program, based on a solid foundation of collecting student loan debt through the income tax system. Nevertheless, there is scope for the ATO and Education to make meaningful improvements to important aspects of the program's administration. In particular, the program does not have a robust program of evaluation and review based on rigorous analysis of sound data. Program performance measures and reporting reflect a primary focus on access and there is limited measurement of the sustainability of the program despite Education's projection that HELP debt will total almost $200 billion in 2024–25 and almost one-third of this debt will not be collected. In addition to a
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2. HELP is regulated by provisions in the *Higher Education Support Act 2003* and is administered by the Department of Education and Training (Education). HELP debt and repayments are collected and managed by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO). A Memorandum of Understanding sets out the arrangements between Education and the ATO in relation to the administration of HELP.

3. As at 30 June 2015, the value of total outstanding HELP debt was $44.1 billion, associated with approximately 2.2 million payees. The estimated value of the debt that is not expected to be collected is around $10.2 billion. This debt is projected to continue growing to approximately $67.6 billion by 2017–18, with almost 23 per cent of that debt not expected to be collected.

Audit objective and criteria

4. The objective of the audit was to assess the effectiveness of the administration of HELP debt and repayments. To form a conclusion against this objective the audit examined whether:

   - the ATO and Education fulfil their responsibilities as set out in the Memorandum of Understanding, and Education effectively monitors HELP debt and repayments to inform program decision-making;
   - the ATO effectively supports employers and payees to meet their responsibilities in relation to HELP withholdings and repayments, and detects and deters those that do not; and
   - the ATO accurately records debts, repayments, write-offs, waivers and deferments, and effectively collects outstanding HELP debt.

The audit did not examine other aspects of Education’s administration of HELP or verify the integrity of enrolment and debt information supplied by higher education providers.

Conclusion

5. The Higher Education Loan Program (HELP) is a mature program, based on a solid foundation of collecting student loan debt through the income tax system. Nevertheless, there is scope for the ATO and Education to make meaningful improvements to important aspects of the program’s administration. In particular, the program does not have a robust program of evaluation and review based on rigorous analysis of sound data. Program performance measures and reporting reflect a primary focus on access and there is limited measurement of the sustainability of the program despite Education’s projection that HELP debt will total almost $200 billion in 2024–25 and almost one-third of this debt will not be collected. In addition to a
lack of focus on examining the growth in HELP debt to support policy design, there is no risk-based strategy to target compliance efforts; the ATO and Education are not fulfilling their broader program risk management responsibilities; and the controls for recording students’ HELP debts need to be improved.

**Supporting findings**

**HELP debt recording**

6. Education and the ATO have a number of controls in place to support the collection, transfer and upload of reliable and accurate HELP debt data. Nevertheless, issues with the integrity of data contained in files transferred from Education complicate the processes to upload the student debt data into the ATO’s Integrated Core Processing information technology system, and sometimes result in extensive manual data matching processes. The full extent and cost of these issues is unknown as their occurrence is not routinely recorded by the ATO or Education.

7. For 2014–15, the ATO properly applied the HELP indexation factor and compulsory repayment thresholds in updating the Integrated Core Processing system. It was not possible to test the ATO’s processing of the revised HECS-HELP Benefit thresholds as the ATO did not provide reliable data.

8. Other than the HELP repayment calculator, the ATO updated its key information resources and tools with the revised compulsory repayment income thresholds and HECS-HELP Benefit amounts in a timely manner. It would be helpful for payees if the ATO updates the HELP repayment calculator earlier in, or prior to, the relevant financial year.

**HELP debt collection**

9. HELP voluntary repayments have largely been effectively managed. However, the voluntary repayment bonus has been incorrectly provided for FEE-HELP and OS-HELP loans despite the then Australian Government indicating that they would not be available for these loan types in the 2003–04 Budget.

10. The ATO accurately calculates HELP compulsory repayments and effectively manages these repayments through income tax arrangements. However, the ATO could not advise the ANAO of the value of HELP compulsory repayments that have been raised and not collected.

11. The ATO’s Integrated Core Processing system does not support accurate processing of the HECS-HELP Benefit in all circumstances, and the ATO estimates that some 12 000 payees are affected by errors including incorrect account balances. The ATO has not met its timeliness standards for processing applications for the HECS-HELP Benefit for the past four years.

12. The ATO manages relatively few HELP write-offs, waivers and deferments, and applies its broader corporate policies and processes in this regard. To improve the effectiveness of these processes for HELP, the ATO is revising its broader guidance for write-offs, which is out of date and incomplete, and may need to introduce guidance on waivers. It also needs to improve the timeliness of processing applications for the deferment of HELP debt repayments in order to meet internal standards.
13. The ATO has quality assurance arrangements in place to support the accurate recording of HELP repayments, discounts, write-offs, waivers and deferments, particularly through its Service Delivery Quality Framework and Service Delivery Coaching Framework. Assessments conducted as part of the Service Delivery Quality Framework indicated that the main team responsible for administering HELP is performing strongly. There is scope to improve arrangements to check these quality assessments.


Risk management and compliance

15. Existing risk management arrangements do not support the effective management of HELP risks. The risk management arrangements outlined in the Memorandum of Understanding should assist with the effective management of HELP risks. However, neither Education nor the ATO have met their respective risk management requirements under this agreement.

16. The ATO and Education provide sufficient information to support individuals willing to meet their HELP obligations. These individuals have ready access to information about most aspects of the scheme, particularly through the agencies’ websites and ATO social media posts. However, some inaccurate and incomplete information has been provided. In finalising its HELP communication strategy, it would be useful for the ATO to outline a forward work program.

17. The ATO ceased mailing out account statements in 2013, without introducing an alternative means of informing payees of changes to their HELP debt. Consequently, there is an increased risk of students being unaware of incurring a HELP debt.

18. The ATO and Education have a range of activities in place to detect and deter non-compliant behaviour among HELP participants, including business-as-usual and targeted compliance efforts. However, these activities are not supported by a risk-based compliance and enforcement strategy. Introducing such a strategy would assist the agencies to target compliance activities and provide assurance in relation to the mitigation of HELP compliance risks.

Monitoring and reporting HELP debt and repayments

19. While there are deliverables and key performance indicators for HELP, there is insufficient measurement of sustainability, which is an increasing focus for the Australian Government in the education sector.

20. The ATO and Education report limited information publicly on HELP, and should report a broader range of information—particularly in relation to the sustainability of the program—for the benefit of stakeholders, including Parliament. Further, the absence of detailed repayment information impacts on the accuracy of program forecasts.

21. Education estimates that by 2024–25 outstanding HELP debt will total $192.5 billion and almost thirty per cent ($55.0 billion) will not be repaid. Despite its projections of strong, accelerating growth and high levels of HELP debt, Education was unable to demonstrate that it routinely monitored and analysed factors affecting the repayment of HELP debt. Improving its analysis of the growth in HELP debt and repayment rates would enable Education to better assess the sustainability of the program and inform program design. The Australian Government approved Education’s proposal for an enhanced HELP database in November 2015, which
should improve Education’s capacity to analyse the factors that influence payees’ capacity to repay HELP debt.

**Recommendations**

**Recommendation No. 1**
**Paragraph 2.10**

To simplify and improve the debt recording process, the ANAO recommends that Education and the ATO redesign the controls for the initial recording, transfer and upload of HELP debts.

**Recommendation No. 2**
**Paragraph 4.13**

To improve the management of risks associated with the Higher Education Loan Program and support Education’s and the ATO’s compliance with the risk management requirements set out in the Memorandum of Understanding, the ANAO recommends that Education and the ATO:

(a) develop risk management plans for their respective services under the Memorandum of Understanding; and

(b) introduce a standing agenda item for risk management at Higher Education Meetings to facilitate discussion of relevant risks.

**Recommendation No. 3**
**Paragraph 4.46**

To provide assurance that compliance risks associated with HELP are effectively identified and mitigated, the ANAO recommends that the ATO and Education work together to develop a risk-based compliance and enforcement strategy for HELP.

**Recommendation No. 4**
**Paragraph 5.10**

To support the design of HELP and better inform key stakeholders in the higher education sector, the ANAO recommends that Education and the ATO expand the information provided publicly to include a broader range of information such as the growth in HELP debt and collection of repayments, overall and for the separate HELP programs.

**Recommendation No. 5**
**Paragraph 5.26**

To enable informed decision making regarding HELP, the ANAO recommends that Education more fully analyses characteristics of debt and repayments, and consider this information to inform program design.

22. The ATO and Education agreed to all five recommendations.
Summary of entity responses

23. The first two paragraphs of the entities’ response are included below. Appendix 1 reproduces the full summary responses and overall responses to the audit.

Australian Taxation Office

The ATO welcomes this review and considers the report supportive of our overall approach to managing Higher Education Loan Program debts and repayments.

The review recognises the overall effectiveness of the administration of HELP debts and repayments and acknowledges the solid foundation the income tax system provides for collecting student loan debts. The review identified a number of opportunities for improvement in our risk management and compliance processes and with recent innovations and the implementation of a robust program of evaluation, a more efficient and effective administration of the program will be attained.

Department of Education and Training

The Department of Education and Training (‘the department’) acknowledges the Australian National Audit Office’s (ANAO) report on the Administration of Higher Education Loan Program (HELP) Debt and Repayments. HELP provides significant economic benefits for individuals and Australia’s communities including wider societal benefits such as reduced unemployment and welfare dependency. It also produces good repayment outcomes with around four in every five dollars of new HELP debt currently expected to be repaid.

The department supports the direction of the report’s recommendations including the need to consider improvements to elements of the administration of HELP and to data management, analysis and reporting. Significant work is already being undertaken by the department to address these priorities.
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1. Background

Higher Education Loan Program

1.1 The Australian Government provides income-contingent loans to eligible students through the Higher Education Loan Program (HELP), which removes up-front cost barriers to tertiary education and training. Students are required to repay the loan(s) once their income reaches a minimum threshold.

1.2 HELP is regulated by provisions in the Higher Education Support Act 2003 and is administered by the Department of Education and Training (Education). HELP debts and repayments are collected and managed by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO).

1.3 In 1989, the Australian government introduced the Higher Education Contribution Scheme (HECS) to facilitate the reintroduction of charges for Australian higher education undergraduate students. In 2003, there were major reforms to higher education, including significant changes to HECS, as illustrated in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Expansion of the HELP scheme from 1989 to 2015

VET FEE-HELP was introduced for students studying higher level vocational education and training courses. In 2003 - 2005, the scheme was renamed to HELP and expanded to include domestic full-fee paying students (FEE-HELP) and students studying overseas for one or two semesters (OS-HELP).

2008 - 2012

In the 2015–16 Budget, the Government announced the strengthening of VET FEE-HELP and the extension of the HELP repayment framework to include overseas debtors.

1989

The Australian Government introduced HECS to remove up-front cost barriers to tertiary education.

2009

Higher Education Support Amendment (VET FEE-HELP and Tertiary Admission Centres) Act 2009 was introduced to broaden access to VET FEE-HELP by reducing the eligibility requirements.

2011

SA-HELP was introduced to assist students paying student services and amenities.

The National Partnership Agreement was implemented allowing state/territory subsidised students to access VET FEE-HELP loans progressively.

2015

Source: ANAO analysis of Education data.
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**Figure 1.1: Expansion of the HELP scheme from 1989 to 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>The Australian Government introduced HECS to remove up-front cost barriers to tertiary education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-2005</td>
<td>The scheme was renamed to HELP and expanded to include domestic full-fee paying students (FEE-HELP) and students studying overseas for one or two semesters (OS-HELP).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>VET FEE-HELP was introduced for students studying higher level vocational education and training courses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Higher Education Support Amendment (VET FEE-HELP and Tertiary Admission Centres) Act 2009 was introduced to broaden access to VET FEE-HELP by reducing the eligibility requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>SA-HELP was introduced to assist students paying student services and amenities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>The National Partnership Agreement was implemented allowing state/territory subsidised students to access VET FEE-HELP loans progressively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>In the 2015–16 Budget, the Government announced the strengthening of VET FEE-HELP and the extension of the HELP repayment framework to include overseas debtors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ANAO analysis of Education data.

1.4 Major changes to the income-contingent loan arrangements also included the introduction of additional loan types and the renaming of the program. HECS was absorbed into HELP and is now referred to as HECS-HELP. HELP was further extended in 2008 to introduce VET FEE-HELP and in 2011 to introduce SA-HELP. The Australian Government introduced arrangements aimed at

---

ensuring a fairer HELP scheme in the 2015–16 Budget, as outlined in Figure 1.1, to provide savings estimated at $25.4 million from 2015–16 to 2018–19.

HELP schemes

1.5 There are currently five loan types under the HELP scheme, as illustrated in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: HELP schemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HELP scheme</th>
<th>Scheme purpose</th>
<th>Number of student loans in 2014–15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HECS-HELP</td>
<td>A loan to cover all or part of a student contribution.</td>
<td>507 629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-HELP</td>
<td>A loan to cover the fee charged by higher education providers for student services and amenities of a non-academic nature, such as sporting activities, career advice, child care and food services.</td>
<td>444 344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VET FEE-HELP</td>
<td>A loan to cover all or part of their tuition up to the VET FEE-HELP lifetime loan limit.</td>
<td>131 344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEE-HELP</td>
<td>A loan to cover all or part of the tuition fees up to the FEE-HELP lifetime loan limit.</td>
<td>76 613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS-HELP</td>
<td>A cash loan to cover expenses associated with overseas study, such as accommodation and travel. There is a lifetime limit of two loans per student.</td>
<td>10 986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: In 2015, the VET FEE-HELP and FEE-HELP limit was $97 728 for most students. For students undertaking medicine, dentistry and veterinary science courses the limit was $122 162.


Collection of HELP debt

1.6 HELP debts can be repaid through compulsory and voluntary repayments. Employers are required to withhold a higher proportion of a payee’s income, as their compulsory HELP loan repayments, when the payee’s income reaches the minimum repayment threshold. The minimum threshold is determined by Education and then advised to the ATO in advance of each financial year. For the 2015–16 income year, the minimum repayment income threshold is $54 126. Payees who make voluntary repayments receive a five per cent bonus, however, the Australian Government has announced the discontinuation of the bonus from 1 January 2017.

1.7 Payees who have completed a course in maths, science, education, early childhood education and nursing may be eligible to apply for a HECS-HELP Benefit discount, which either reduces their compulsory repayment amount or total HELP debt. There are complex eligibility requirements for the HECS-HELP Benefit including the type of employment undertaken and certain geographical eligibility requirements.

---

2 Compulsory repayments are generally collected by employers through the Pay-As-You-Go withholding system.

3 As part of the higher education reform package announced in the 2014–15 Budget, the Government proposed to discontinue the HECS-HELP Benefit from 30 June 2017 as a review of the demand driven education funding system found that there was little evidence to indicate that the benefit was achieving its intended outcome to encourage people to study in the relevant fields. Education advised the ANAO that the Government is currently consulting on its higher education reforms and the HECS-HELP Benefit remains under consideration as part of this process.
1.8 Payees suffering from serious hardship can also apply for a deferment of their compulsory repayments for the current, previous and next financial years. This does not reduce the payee’s accumulated debt as the deferred value remains part of the total outstanding debt and continues to be indexed each year.

Debt write-offs and waivers

1.9 Debts can be written off for three reasons: irrecoverable at law; uneconomical to pursue; and death. Further, under section 63 of the Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act 2013, payees can apply to the Minister for Finance to have their debt waived. Debts that have been waived cannot be pursued at a later date.

Level of HELP debt

1.10 As at 30 June 2015, the value of total outstanding HELP debt was $44.1 billion, associated with approximately 2.2 million payees. The estimated value of the debt that is not expected to be collected is around $10.2 billion.

1.11 As illustrated in Figure 1.2, this debt is projected to continue growing to approximately $55.3 billion in 2016–17 and $67.6 billion by 2017–18, with around 23 per cent of that debt not expected to be collected. This growth in debt is largely due to an expected increase in university commencements, mainly as a result of the uncapping of Commonwealth supported places for undergraduate courses from 1 January 2012 and the broadening of the HELP scheme to include the VET FEE-HELP scheme.

1.12 In 2014–15, the value of repayments collected by the ATO for HELP was $1.9 billion or 4.7 per cent of the total outstanding HELP balance.4

4 These figures do not include the value of debt incurred in semester 1 of 2015. The Australian Government Actuary estimated the value of the debt incurred for semester 1 2015 as $3.9 billion.
Many countries offer government-administered student loan schemes for further education, including the United Kingdom, New Zealand and Canada. In most cases, the structure of these programs is similar to that of Australia; payees are eligible to borrow funds to help cover tuition and living expenses, and payments can be deferred until after study is completed. Table 1.2 summarises the key aspects of these income-contingent loan programs.

International comparison

Many countries offer government-administered student loan schemes for further education, including the United Kingdom, New Zealand and Canada. In most cases, the structure of these programs is similar to that of Australia; payees are eligible to borrow funds to help cover tuition and living expenses, and payments can be deferred until after study is completed. Table 1.2 summarises the key aspects of these income-contingent loan programs.

Source: ANAO analysis of Education data.
Table 1.2: Summary of student loan repayment plans, 2014–15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>New Zealand</th>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>United States of America</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HELP</td>
<td>StudyLink</td>
<td>Plan 1 and 2</td>
<td>CanLearn</td>
<td>PAYE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collected with taxes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate</td>
<td>CPI&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>RPI&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; + 0.3%</td>
<td>Prime + 2.5% or 5%</td>
<td>10-year repayment plan + 2.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan fees</td>
<td>FEE-HELP 25% fee&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$60 initial $40 annual</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VET FEE-HELP 20% fee&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$40 annual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum income threshold</td>
<td>$53 345</td>
<td>$18 482</td>
<td>$41 643</td>
<td>Varies by family size</td>
<td>Varies by family size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment begins</td>
<td>Exceeds income threshold</td>
<td>Exceeds income threshold</td>
<td>April after school ends</td>
<td>After 6 month grace period</td>
<td>After 6 month grace period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment rate</td>
<td>4%-8%</td>
<td>12% (over threshold)</td>
<td>9% (over threshold)</td>
<td>0-20% (over threshold)</td>
<td>10% (over threshold)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment increase with income?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan forgiveness&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>After 30 years</td>
<td>After 15 years</td>
<td>After 20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas payees</td>
<td>Debt is indexed; unenforced repayments required</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Must continue to repay at normal rate</td>
<td>Continue to pay by completing annual form</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: CPI is the consumer price index.
Note 2: RPI is the retail price index.
Note 3: The FEE-HELP loan fee is for 25 per cent of the loan amount and applies to undergraduate courses only. The VET FEE-HELP loan fee is for 20 per cent of the loan amount and applies to full-fee paying and fee for service students only.
Note 4: Loan forgiveness refers to the automatic write-off of debt following a period of time.
Source: ANAO analysis.

**Administrative responsibilities**

1.14 A Memorandum of Understanding sets out the arrangements between Education and the ATO in relation to the administration of HELP. Under this agreement, Education is responsible for the policy, legislation and stakeholder communications for the HELP program. Approved Higher Education Providers and Vocational Education and Training institutions submit student debt information to Education using its online Higher Education Provider Client Assistance Tool.
Education then collates this data and transfers it to the ATO to be recorded on students’ records. This process is outlined in Figure 1.3.

**Figure 1.3: HELP data collection and upload process**

![Diagram of HELP data collection and upload process]

**Note**: A Tax File Number is a unique identification number assigned to taxpayers by the ATO.

**Source**: ANAO analysis of ATO information.

1.15 The Memorandum of Understanding also provides the ATO with responsibility for collecting and administering HELP debts. This involves: the application of the indexation factor; collection of repayments; processing of deferments, write-offs and HECS-HELP Benefit discounts; and providing reports to Education regarding the level of HELP debt. The ATO’s responsibilities are further illustrated in Figure 1.4.
### Background

**Figure 1.4: The ATO’s responsibilities for administering HELP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indexation</th>
<th>Collection of voluntary repayments</th>
<th>Collection of compulsory repayments</th>
<th>Deferments and HECS-HELP Benefit</th>
<th>Write-offs</th>
<th>Waivers</th>
<th>Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calculate the indexation factor annually and update the Integrated Core Processing system to reflect.</td>
<td>Receive voluntary repayments through ATO’s payment channels.</td>
<td>ATO updates the Integrated Core Processing system and other information products with the revised annual compulsory income thresholds.</td>
<td>Receive application from individual debtor.</td>
<td>Identify debts to be written off.</td>
<td>Provide submission to Finance regarding payee’s debt waiver.</td>
<td>Verify student information received from Education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexation is applied to all HELP debts which remain unpaid for the preceding 11 months.</td>
<td>Apply five per cent bonus for payments of $500 or more, or for payments less than $500, if the payment is to payout the loan.</td>
<td>Process income tax return. Raise compulsory repayments when the income threshold is met.</td>
<td>Determine eligibility.</td>
<td>Cancel remainder of the debt.</td>
<td>Receive advice from Finance regarding outcome of the payee’s application.</td>
<td>Submit Quarterly Commonwealth Higher Education Student Support Numbers reports to Education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexation is reflected in the HELP statements.</td>
<td>Bonus and voluntary repayment is credited to the HELP account.</td>
<td>ATO offsets Pay-As-You-Go withholding and raise income tax debt for any unpaid compulsory repayment.</td>
<td>Process applications within the 28 day service standard.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Waive debt, if application is successful.</td>
<td>Submit monthly certificates and Annual Account Balance reports to Education.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ANAO analysis of ATO information.

### Audit objective, criteria and scope

1.16 The objective of the audit was to assess the effectiveness of the administration of Higher Education Loan Program debt and repayments.

1.17 To form a conclusion against the audit objective, the ANAO adopted the following high-level audit criteria:

- the ATO and Education fulfil their responsibilities as set out in the Memorandum of Understanding, and Education effectively monitors HELP debt and repayments to inform program decision-making;
- the ATO effectively supports employers and payees to meet their responsibilities in relation to HELP withholdings and repayments, and detects and deters those that do not; and
- the ATO accurately records debts, repayments, write-offs, waivers and deferments, and effectively collects outstanding HELP debt.
1.18 The audit did not:

• examine other aspects of Education’s administration of HELP;
• verify the integrity of enrolment and debt information supplied by higher education providers; or
• verify the Australian Government Actuary’s estimate of the value of the HELP debt expected to be collected.

Audit methodology

1.19 The audit methodology included:

• interviewing relevant ATO and Education staff and stakeholders;
• conducting data integrity testing to confirm that the ATO maintains the debt in line with requirements and monitors employer and payee obligations;
• examining complaints and objections information to identify potential issues with the ATO’s administration of the HELP debt and repayments;
• reviewing training and guidance material for ATO staff; and
• analysing the ATO’s and Education’s HELP debt and repayment monitoring and reporting processes.

1.20 The audit was conducted in accordance with ANAO Auditing Standards at a cost to the ANAO of approximately $585 000.
2. HELP debt recording

Areas examined
This chapter examines the transfer of HELP debt files from Education and the upload of those files by the ATO. It also examines the ATO’s application of the HELP indexation factor, compulsory repayment income thresholds and the HECS-HELP Benefit.

Conclusion
The controls for the collection, transfer and upload of HELP debt data could be strengthened and streamlined. For 2015–16, the ATO effectively updated its core information technology processing system, and information resources and tools, to apply the legislated HELP indexation factor and revise compulsory repayment income thresholds. The ATO partially met its responsibility to update information products and tools in a timely manner with the revised HECS-HELP Benefit thresholds. There was insufficient information available to test the accuracy of the ATO’s HECS-HELP Benefit processing.

Areas for improvement
The ANAO made one recommendation aimed at improving the debt recording process (paragraph 2.10). The ANAO also suggested that the ATO update the HELP repayment calculator earlier each year (paragraph 2.24).

Does the ATO have effective processes to transfer, upload and match HELP debt data?

Education and the ATO have a number of controls in place to support the collection, transfer and upload of reliable and accurate HELP debt data. Nevertheless, issues with the integrity of data contained in files transferred from Education complicate the processes to upload the student debt data into the ATO’s Integrated Core Processing information technology system, and sometimes result in extensive manual data matching processes. The full extent and cost of these issues is unknown as their occurrence is not routinely recorded by the ATO or Education.

2.1 Approved higher education providers and vocational education and training institutions submit student debt information to Education via its online Higher Education Provider Client Assistance Tool. This data contains the personal details of students, type of study undertaken and value of loan debt incurred. This data is subsequently collated into files by Education and transferred to the ATO for recording on the payees’ income tax records. The ATO is then primarily responsible for administering and collecting the HELP debts, which involves updating its systems to reflect revised annual HELP thresholds.
Data collection and transfer

2.2 Education transfers student debt data in three file types to the ATO: DUE file; Previously Unreported Debt file; and Revisions file. These files are transferred to the ATO each year in July, September, December and May.

2.3 There are a number of shortcomings in the processes to collect student debt data that compromise the integrity of the data. The magnitude of these issues is unknown as their occurrence is not routinely recorded by the ATO or Education. These shortcomings include:

- Tax File Numbers (TFNs) are confirmed as valid TFNs when providers submit the student debt data, however, they are not confirmed as belonging to the relevant student. Therefore, some student debt files are transferred to the ATO containing incorrect TFNs. For example, the TFNs may be incorrectly transcribed.

- When providers seek Commonwealth Higher Education Student Support Numbers (CHESSNs) for students, Education’s Higher Education Information Management System sometimes allocates one student’s CHESSN to another student resulting in those two students sharing a CHESSN and sometimes allocates more than one CHESSN to a student resulting in that student having multiple CHESSNs. Despite Education advising the ANAO that the Higher Education Information Management System conducts a nightly check to identify duplicate CHESSNs, student debt files are transferred to the ATO containing duplicate and/or shared CHESSNs.

- Student debt data must pass a series of validation rules before it can be submitted. One of the validation rules is that the TFN field cannot be blank, with the exception of students who have not incurred a HELP debt. However, some files relating to students who have incurred a debt are transferred to the ATO without a TFN.

- The Higher Education Provider Client Assistance Tool data field rules and the ATO’s Integrated Core Processing system data field rules do not align. For example, while the Higher Education Provider Client Assistance Tool will accept apostrophes in the address fields, the Integrated Core Processing system will not.

2.4 These shortcomings can prevent student debts from being matched to ATO client records as well as prevent the initial upload of debts into the ATO’s Integrated Core Processing system. Consequently, the ATO is required to undertake a number of further checks of the data to identify records that contain these errors.

---

5 Once a debt is deemed to be outside its reporting period (that is, incurred but not reported to Education), it is classified as a previously unreported debt. These debts can be reported in Previously Unreported Debt files in any future debt load.

6 CHESSNs are unique identification numbers that are used by providers and the Australian Government to identify students.

7 When allocating a CHESSN, the Higher Education Information Management System conducts three searches to determine whether the student already has a CHESSN. Each of these searches identifies potential matches to the student using a combination of information fields, which are assigned a weighting. In some instances, this approach can result in an incorrect match and the student is allocated the CHESSN of another student.

8 In these circumstances, the Higher Education Provider Client Assistance Tool will validate a TFN field entry of ‘00’ followed by seven spaces.
ATO data upload and matching

2.5 As illustrated in Figure 2.1, the ATO undertakes three data validation checks and a debt matching process prior to uploading the student files into the Integrated Core Processing system. For the September 2015 debt upload, a total of 550 453 records were loaded into the Integrated Core Processing system.

Figure 2.1: The ATO’s processes for uploading information about HELP debts

Source: ANAO interpretation of ATO information.

2.6 There are multiple checks for some errors. For example, both the first and third checks are designed to detect data decryption errors. The ATO advised that this error may not be addressed in the first check if the responsible ATO officer does not resolve the error. In practice, these checks do not ensure that all errors are identified and addressed.

2.7 The ATO could not advise the ANAO of the number of records that fail checks one to four (outlined in Figure 2.1), although it advised that the number of records that failed the Integrated Core Processing system upload process (check 5) and consequently required manual intervention was 28 940 records in 2013–14 and 11 851 records in 2014–15. The approximate cost to the ATO to manage these records in 2013–14 was $450 000 and in 2014–15 was $193 000.

2.8 While the ATO did not provide information on the number of records that failed the first four checks, it would be expected that a larger number of records fail the first check and that number decreases with each subsequent check. Consequently, the number of records that required manual intervention in 2013–14 and 2014–15 and the resulting cost to the ATO would be significantly higher than reported in paragraph 2.7.

2.9 The ATO advised the ANAO that it expects limited manual intervention will be required following the introduction of the Message Queue (MQ) Platform as it will require TFNs to be

---

9 The ATO advised that the significant decrease in the number of records requiring manual intervention between these two years was the result of two improvements to the data collection and transfer process. Firstly, following a trial conducted in 2014–15, Education now removes invalid characters from some information fields in the student debt files before transferring the files to the ATO. The second improvement was adjusting an Education process to enable the ATO to receive and process data automatically.

10 These costs were calculated by the ANAO using parameters provided by the ATO.

11 Message Queue (MQ) Platform is a data exchange platform that enables real time transfer of data between Education and the ATO. It is expected to be introduced in 2017 (refer Chapter 5).
verified before providers can submit student files and intervention will only be necessary for records with an incorrect or compromised TFN. TFN verification is expected to improve the efficiency of the debt matching process. However, there is further scope to address the underlying data integrity and system misalignment issues—in particular, by further aligning the data field rules between the ATO’s and Education’s systems and improving the completeness of student data.

**Recommendation No.1**

2.10 To simplify and improve the debt recording process, the ANAO recommends that Education and the ATO redesign the controls for the initial recording, transfer and upload of HELP debts.

The ATO’s response: Agreed.

2.11 The ATO is committed to improve the debt recording process. Following recent changes to the law, the ATO and the Department of Education are currently developing a Message Queue (MQ) platform which will streamline the process for reporting of debts to the ATO and allow for the redesign of the controls for more simpler and efficient recording, transfer and upload of HELP debts. The MQ platform is expected to be operational from 1 July 2017.

Education’s response: Agreed.

2.12 The department and the ATO are currently implementing Message Queue technology to support more timely and efficient transmission of data between the two agencies. In implementing these improvements, the department is seeking to build on current processes to realign data fields between both agencies’ systems and improve the completeness of student data.

**Debt matching processes**

2.13 Although designed as unique identification numbers, students’ CHESSNs and TFNs are not used for debt matching purposes due to the integrity issues outlined in paragraph 2.3. Rather, the ATO attempts to automatically match the debt records provided by Education to its client records by comparing information fields. Programmed combinations of between two and four fields are used to determine a successful match, for example, given and family names, date of birth and postcode or TFN, given name and date of birth.

2.14 If the ATO cannot automatically match a debt to a record, ATO staff attempt to manually match the debt to a client record, as outlined in Figure 2.2.
Figure 2.2: The ATO’s manual processes for matching information about HELP debts

Search for the TFNs* recorded in the student’s debt record within ICP and compare the ATO’s client record associated with those TFNs against the student record.

Send a letter to the student requesting them to update their personal information with the ATO, or either apply for a TFN from the ATO or provide the correct TFN within 14 days.

If the TFN still cannot be confirmed, attempt to identify the correct TFN using ATO systems.

Send letters to the provider to advise that the student’s details do not match those held by the ATO, and to the student to request that they either apply for a TFN or provide the correct TFN within 28 days.

Send letter to provider to advise that the student has not responded. Student is unenrolled from the course. Providers are required to submit a remittance through Education to the ATO.

Allocate the debt to the correct ATO client record and update that record if necessary. For example, with a name or address change.

Match

Match

Match

Match

If the correct TFN is received or the student applies for a TFN, letters are sent to the student and provider advising that the debt has been allocated to the student.

Note*: Two TFNs are recorded and these may be different as one is provided by the student and the second is the TFN identified by the ATO from the student’s tax record.

Source: ANAO interpretation of ATO information.

2.15 As demonstrated in Figure 2.2, debts that cannot be matched automatically can result in a manually intensive matching process. As noted in paragraph 2.7, the number of records that failed the Integrated Core Processing system upload process and consequently required manual intervention was 28,940 records in 2013–14 and 11,851 records in 2014–15. The ATO was unable to advise the ANAO of the number of instances it was required to contact providers regarding students’ incorrect TFNs.

2.16 For 2013–14 and 2014–15, 22 debts were cancelled by providers and as at 16 September 2015, there were 62 unresolved student debts for the same period. Notices have been issued to the providers in all 62 instances requesting them to cancel the student’s enrolment and remit the debt. Follow-up notices have also been issued in those instances where the original notice was sent in 2013 (11 instances). There are unmatched debts that the ATO first advised providers to cancel in January 2014 for which no subsequent action has been taken by the ATO, as it is still awaiting advice from the providers. This is an unreasonable length of time to elapse between the first notice to a provider and any subsequent action.

12 As at 2 December 2015, there were 55 outstanding instances. However, the ATO did not provide the ANAO with information about the action taken in relation to those cases.
2.17 In 27 of the outstanding instances, the ATO has received further debt files in relation to the associated students. Section 193-5 (2) of the Higher Education Support Act 2003 states that the provider must not accept a further enrolment of the person in a unit as a Commonwealth supported student if their enrolment has been cancelled. The ATO advised the ANAO that additional debt can be incurred by a student while the ATO carries out the steps outlined in Figure 2.2. The ATO should review its cancellation notice processes to ensure that students are unenrolled in a timely manner and the incurrence of debt associated with ineligible students is minimised.

**Does the ATO properly apply the HELP indexation factor, compulsory repayment thresholds and HECS-HELP Benefit thresholds?**

For 2014–15, the ATO properly applied the HELP indexation factor and compulsory repayment thresholds in updating the Integrated Core Processing system. It was not possible to test the ATO’s processing of the revised HECS-HELP Benefit thresholds as the ATO did not provide reliable data.

Other than the HELP repayment calculator, the ATO updated its key information resources and tools with the revised compulsory repayment income thresholds and HECS-HELP Benefit amounts in a timely manner. It would be helpful for payees if the ATO updates the HELP repayment calculator earlier in, or prior to, the relevant financial year.

**Indexation**

2.18 In accordance with the Higher Education Support Act 2003, on 1 June HELP debts are potentially subject to an indexation factor that is calculated annually. The ATO is required to: calculate the indexation factor; report it in the Government Notices Gazette; and apply it to HELP debts which remain unpaid for the preceding 11 months.

2.19 The ANAO tests the ATO’s indexation process on an annual basis to confirm that the parameters used for calculating the indexation factor and the calculated factor were correct, and that the gazetted factor had been correctly applied in the Integrated Core Processing system. The ANAO’s testing undertaken in 2012–13, 2013–14 and 2014–15 confirmed that the ATO had correctly calculated and applied indexation in those years.

---

13 Indexation maintains the real value of the debt by adjusting it in line with changes in the cost of living as measured by the consumer price index. The indexation figure is calculated each year after the March consumer price index is released. It is based on financial figures collected by the Australian Bureau of Statistics over the previous two years.

14 Voluntary repayments reduce the value of a payee’s debt when paid. However, compulsory repayments withheld by employers are not immediately credited against the payee’s HELP debt. They are only credited once a payee lodges an income tax return and the ATO determines that the payee is required to make a compulsory repayment for the relevant income year.
Compulsory repayment income thresholds

2.20 Under the Memorandum of Understanding, the ATO is required to update the Integrated Core Processing system with the revised annual compulsory repayment income thresholds. The revisions are calculated according to a formula based on the Average Weekly Earnings figure.\(^\text{15}\)

2.21 As part of the annual financial statement audit program, the ANAO recalculates the repayment income and compulsory repayments, where relevant, for a sample of income tax returns with a HELP and/or Student Financial Supplement Scheme debt.\(^\text{16}\) The Student Financial Supplement Scheme was a voluntary loan scheme to help tertiary students cover their expenses while studying. The Scheme closed on 31 December 2003 and existing debts continue to be collected through the tax system. Payees with a Student Financial Supplement Scheme loan were included in this testing as it is also an income contingent loan and has similar attributes as HELP, for example thresholds for compulsory repayments.

2.22 The ANAO’s testing did not identify any variances with the ATO’s calculations; providing assurance that the ATO updated the Integrated Core Processing system with the correct income repayment thresholds and accurately calculated HELP compulsory repayments.

2.23 The Memorandum of Understanding also requires the ATO to update its annual information materials and website tools with the revised compulsory repayment thresholds. Education is required to provide the ATO with compulsory repayment income thresholds by March for the upcoming financial year.

2.24 For the 2015–16 compulsory repayment income thresholds, the ATO updated its HELP and TSL repayment thresholds and rates webpage in May 2015—prior to the commencement of the financial year. The ATO did not update its online HELP repayment calculator\(^\text{17}\) with the compulsory repayment incomes thresholds for 2014–15 until 25 June 2015—over one year after it was advised of the revised rates. Payees were therefore unable to use the calculator to estimate their compulsory repayment for most of the 2014–15 financial year. The ANAO suggests that the ATO update the HELP repayment calculator with the compulsory repayment income threshold amount earlier in, or prior to, the relevant financial year.

\(^\text{15}\) Average Weekly Earnings is the level of average earnings in Australia at a point in time. This figure is based on a sample survey of employers carried out by the Australian Bureau of Statistics.

\(^\text{16}\) In 2015, the ANAO tested 120 income tax returns which involved: recalculating the compulsory repayment incomes and compulsory repayments for those included in the sample and comparing these amounts with the ATO’s calculated amounts; and confirming that the compulsory repayment had been recorded on the clients’ records.

\(^\text{17}\) The HELP repayment calculator enables payees to calculate an estimate of their compulsory repayment amount. Australian Taxation Office, Higher Education Loan Program (HELP) and Trade Support Loan (TSL) repayment calculator [Internet], ATO, available from \(<http://calculators.ato.gov.au/scripts/axos/axos.asp?\_CONTEXT=&amp;KBS=HECSrepay.XR4&amp;go=ok>\) [accessed October 2015].
The ATO is also required to update the Pay-As-You-Go withholding rate information for employers:

- on its website, which was updated with the 2015 compulsory repayment rates on 18 June 2015\(^\text{18}\), prior to the commencement of the 2015–16 financial year; and
- in its annual *Statement of formulas for calculating Higher Education Loan Program (HELP), Trade Support Loan (TSL) and Student Financial Supplement Scheme (SFSS) components* schedule, which was also published on 18 June 2015.

**HECS-HELP Benefit**

The HECS-HELP Benefit aims to encourage graduates of maths, science, education and nursing study courses to gain employment in specified occupations. HECS-HELP Benefit also applies to early childhood education graduates who are employed in eligible geographic locations.\(^\text{19}\) In 2014–15, payees received a total of $31.9 million of HECS-HELP Benefit discounts.

There are maximum HECS-HELP Benefit amounts. In 2015–16, for maths, science, education and nursing the benefit is $1798.46, and for early childhood education, it is slightly higher at $1918.39. The benefit amounts are indexed by the consumer price index each year on 1 June. If approved, the payee’s compulsory repayment is reduced by the HECS-HELP Benefit amount. The ANAO was unable to test the accuracy of HECS-HELP Benefit processing as the ATO could not provide the ANAO with a reliable extract of HECS-HELP Benefit data (refer to Chapter 3 for more information).

Education is required to advise the ATO by 7 May of the maximum HECS-HELP Benefit amounts for the next financial year. The Memorandum of Understanding requires that the ATO update the HECS-HELP Benefit application form and publish the revised amounts on the ATO’s website.

In 2015–16, Education met its responsibility under the Memorandum of Understanding by advising the ATO of the maximum rates on 4 May 2015. The ATO partially met its responsibility by publishing the revised rates on its website on 16 June 2015—prior to the commencement of the financial year. However, the revised HECS-HELP Benefit application form for the 2014–15 income year was not available until 10 July 2015. The ATO advised that to minimise the impact on payees, it accepted the previous form during this period.


\(^{19}\) As discussed in Footnote 3, the Government proposed to discontinue the HECS-HELP Benefit from 30 June 2017.
3. HELP debt collection

Areas examined
This chapter examines the ATO’s processing of HELP debt repayments, waivers and write-offs. It also examines the ATO’s relevant quality assurance processes.

Conclusion
The ATO accurately calculates HELP compulsory repayments but could not advise the ANAO of the value of these repayments that had been raised but not collected. In respect of voluntary repayments, the ATO and Education did not implement a measure in the 2003–04 Budget and have been incorrectly providing bonuses for FEE-HELP and OS-HELP. The ATO has acknowledged shortcomings with its guidance on writing-off HELP debt and is revising its current guidance material. In undertaking a program of quality assurance activities, HELP administration was found to be performing well under service delivery assessments, but was not assessed under the ATO’s broader quality assurance framework.

Areas for improvement
The ANAO suggested that the ATO provide guidance about requests for waivers (paragraph 3.25), disseminate the outcome of deferment reviews (paragraph 3.29), and reassess its targets and reporting for the quality assessor reviews (paragraph 3.37).

3.1 Under the Memorandum of Understanding with Education, the ATO is primarily responsible for the collection and administration of HELP debts. Debts are repaid by compulsory repayments, which are mostly collected by employers through the Pay-As-You-Go withholding system. Payees can also make voluntary repayments to reduce their HELP debts.

3.2 Figure 3.1 illustrates that the value of compulsory repayments collected has increased substantially over the past decade, while the net value of voluntary repayments collected has remained stable (but declined as a proportion of new debt from 23 per cent to three per cent). This trend is expected as HELP has been expanded in that period, and in most instances repayments for new loans is delayed while payees complete their studies and gain suitable employment. The increasing value of compulsory HELP repayments highlights the importance of the ATO effectively administering these payments. The declining value of repayments as a proportion of the HELP debt affects the sustainability of the program (refer to Chapter 5).
Does the ATO have effective processes to manage voluntary and compulsory repayments and associated discounts?

HELP voluntary repayments have largely been effectively managed. However the voluntary repayment bonus has been incorrectly provided for FEE-HELP and OS-HELP loans despite the then Australian Government indicating that they would not be available for these loan types in the 2003–04 Budget.

The ATO accurately calculates HELP compulsory repayments and effectively manages these repayments through income tax arrangements. However, the ATO could not advise the ANAO of the value of HELP compulsory repayments that have been raised but not collected through income tax arrangements.

The ATO’s Integrated Core Processing system does not support accurate processing of the HECS-HELP Benefit in all circumstances, and the ATO estimates that some 12 000 payees are affected by errors including incorrect account balances. The ATO has not met its timeliness standards for processing applications for the HECS-HELP Benefit for the past four years.

Collection of voluntary repayments

3.3 Payees can make voluntary repayments through the ATO’s payment channels, including online and over the phone. Voluntary repayments of more than $500, or that are less than $500 and will payout the HELP debt in full, attract a bonus of five per cent of the repayment amount. For example, if a voluntary repayment of $1500 is made, the value of the HELP debt would be reduced by $1575. However, the bonus will be discontinued from 1 January 2017.

3.4 The ANAO analysed voluntary repayments for the period 2010–11 to 2012–13 for HELP payees who had a final debt recorded in 2009–10 and who were in receipt of a HECS-HELP, VET FEE-HELP or FEE-HELP loan. During this period, $74.5 million of voluntary repayments were
made by this cohort; of which 77.8 per cent (or $58.0 million) were made in 2010–11 and 2011–12. Comparatively, in 2012–13, only $16.5 million of voluntary repayments were made. It is expected that the spike in repayments was due to payees taking advantage of the higher ten per cent bonus before it was reduced to five per cent in 2012. Table 3.1 illustrates the proportion of loan recipients who made a voluntary repayment.

### Table 3.1: Proportion of payees who made a voluntary repayment, 2010–11 to 2012–13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loan type</th>
<th>No. of payees</th>
<th>No. of payees who made a voluntary repayment</th>
<th>Proportion of the cohort who made a voluntary repayment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HECS-HELP</td>
<td>91 834</td>
<td>8677</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEE-HELP</td>
<td>35 981</td>
<td>3820</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VET FEE-HELP</td>
<td>6469</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>134 284</td>
<td>12 733</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ANAO analysis.

3.5 When FEE-HELP and OS-HELP were introduced in 2005, the then Australian Government indicated that the bonus would not be available for voluntary repayments on these two loan types. However, this restriction was never applied by the ATO or Education and voluntary repayments towards debts associated with these loan types have received the five per cent bonus. While Education advised the ANAO that this measure could not be implemented as the ATO’s Integrated Core Processing information technology system is unable to differentiate repayments by loan type, Education could not provide documentation to demonstrate that there was a formal decision to not implement the measure.  

3.6 As the ATO’s Integrated Core Processing system is unable to differentiate repayments by loan type, it is not possible to accurately quantify the value of bonuses associated with voluntary repayments for FEE-HELP and OS-HELP. The ANAO estimated that the value of bonuses incorrectly processed in 2014–15 was around $2 million.  

3.7 Compulsory repayments are raised for payees when they earn an income equal to or greater than the compulsory repayment threshold. The ATO calculates and raises compulsory repayments when payees submit their income tax return. As outlined in Chapter 2, the ANAO’s testing of 120 income tax returns with a HELP and/or Student Financial Supplement Scheme debt provided assurance that the ATO accurately calculated HELP compulsory repayments.

---

20 The ATO advised that if legislation had been introduced to reflect the measure and thus require repayments to be differentiated by loan type, it would have enhanced its Integrated Core Processing system with this capability.

21 This estimate is based on the average time taken for HELP payees to make a voluntary repayment from the time they first incurred a HELP debt (7.2 years) and the proportion of total new FEE-HELP and OS-HELP debt of total new HELP debt during the period 2004–05 to 2007–08. The value of voluntary repayments in 2014–15 was $9.9 million. Assuming that the proportion of these repayments reflects the average proportion of FEE-HELP and OS-HELP of total HELP debt incurred seven or more years earlier, the estimated value of voluntary repayment bonuses associated with these two loans is $2 million.
3.8 The ATO advised the ANAO that all compulsory repayments are recorded as collected when they are raised. This process is effective when payees have sufficient Pay-As-You-Go withholdings to offset their compulsory repayments as these liabilities are deemed to be paid first from income tax withholdings. In instances where there is insufficient income tax withholdings to offset compulsory repayments, for example payees who do not participate in the Pay-As-You-Go system, such as some who are self-employed, the shortfall is converted to an income tax debt. Therefore, in practice, the ATO records all compulsory repayments raised as collected irrespective of whether a repayment has been received. The ATO could not advise the ANAO of the value of HELP compulsory repayments that have been raised and not collected.

**HECS-HELP Benefit**

3.9 As outlined in Chapter 2, the HECS-HELP Benefit was expected to provide an incentive for graduates of particular courses to take up related occupations or work in specified locations by reducing either compulsory repayments or HELP debt balances. There are complex eligibility requirements for the HECS-HELP Benefit, including the type of course completed, the type of employment undertaken and for early childhood education there are also geographic and other eligibility requirements.

3.10 Payees are required to apply for the HECS-HELP Benefit each income year and must apply within two years of the conclusion of the relevant income year. There is a lifetime limit of five years for each benefit type and payees can receive multiple benefit types for the same financial year.

3.11 The ANAO planned to undertake testing of the ATO’s processing of HECS-HELP Benefit applications including confirming that no payees had breached the lifetime limit as well as confirming the number of payees receiving multiple benefits. However, the ATO did not provide the ANAO with an extract of HECS-HELP Benefit data that was reliable for testing purposes and consequently this testing was not undertaken.

3.12 There are a number of limitations associated with the Integrated Core Processing system’s processing of HECS-HELP Benefit transactions, including that it:

- records HECS-HELP Benefit transactions twice on payees’ accounts. This error does not result in a reduction of HECS-HELP Benefit balances for payees in receipt of maths and science HECS-HELP Benefit, however, it does reduce the balances of nursing and education HECS-HELP Benefit recipients; and
- incorrectly calculates the HECS-HELP Benefit amount available to payees with a nil or small balance.

---

22 Higher Education Support Act 2003 (Cth) ss. 154-60 and 154-65.
23 These eligibility requirements include residing in rural and regional areas, Indigenous communities and areas of socio-economic disadvantage. Early childhood teachers are only required to spend some of their time employed in this field.
24 For example, a payee who has completed eligible education and science undergraduate degrees and is employed as a teacher may be eligible for both the HECS-HELP Benefit for education graduates as well as the HECS-HELP Benefit for science graduates.
25 Other limitations include that the Integrated Core Processing system incorrectly calculates HECS-HELP Benefit balances and the number of weeks available to payees for claiming purposes.
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3.13 These issues were initially identified in 2011, and while there have been internal requests for the system to be improved since this time, they have not been actioned. The ATO estimated that these issues affect 12,000 payees and cost approximately $180,000 per annum in staff time to manage. Further, the ATO identified the potential impact to payees as receiving an incorrect benefit amount or incorrectly being denied a benefit.

3.14 In line with the ATO’s service standard, HECS-HELP Benefit applications should be processed within 28 days. The ATO is not achieving this standard and as at 19 October 2015, the ATO had received 17,959 HECS-HELP Benefit applications for 2013–14 and 2014–15; of which 17,930 had not been allocated for action. Figure 3.2 illustrates that 8,645 applications were 31 days or older.

**Figure 3.2: Age of applications for the HECS-HELP Benefit in 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of applications</th>
<th>Number of applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>91 days or more</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 - 90 days</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 - 70 days</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 - 50 days</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 - 45 days</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 - 40 days</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - 30 days</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 - 20 days</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - 15 days</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - 10 days</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 - 5 days</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ANAO analysis of ATO information.

3.15 Figure 3.3 illustrates that in the preceding two years, most HECS-HELP Benefit applications have been processed outside the service standard. The ATO advised the ANAO that the 28 day service standard is an electronic standard, and as HECS-HELP Benefit applications cannot be received and processed electronically it intends to change the standard to 50 days.

---

26 Work is allocated to staff through the ATO’s workload distribution system, which was introduced progressively in 2014–15. Work is assigned a priority based on organisational importance and distributed for action according to that priority.
Does the ATO have effective processes to administer HELP debt write-offs, waivers and deferments?

The ATO manages relatively few HELP write-offs, waivers and deferments, and applies its broader corporate policies and processes in this regard. To improve the effectiveness of these processes for HELP, the ATO is revising its broader guidance for write-offs, which is out of date and incomplete, and may need to introduce guidance on waivers. It also needs to improve the timeliness of processing applications for the deferment of HELP debt repayments in order to meet internal standards.

Write-offs

3.16 Debts can be written off for three reasons: irrecoverable at law; uneconomical to pursue; and death.

*Irrecoverable at law and uneconomical to pursue*

3.17 The ATO advised the ANAO that debts classified as irrecoverable at law are mostly amounts that could not be collected as a result of an ATO administrative error. Since 2004–05, the ATO has written off $283 439 in HELP debt as irrecoverable at law. This amount includes four debts totalling $6132 that were written off in 2013–14. These debts were aged between five and 20 years old and could not be matched to an ATO client record.

3.18 Debts that are deemed as uneconomical to pursue and are subsequently written-off are mostly low-value debt amounts. For example, since 2012 the ATO has been writing off debts owed to the ATO and to clients of less than $1 as uneconomical to pursue. The net total amount that the ATO has written off as uneconomical to pursue since 2004–05 is $21 306—noting that this includes both debts owed to and by clients.

3.19 The ATO does not have specific guidance on processing HELP debt write-offs that are either irrecoverable at law or uneconomical to pursue. Instead, these types of transactions are...
covered by general guidance on debts that will not be pursued by the ATO. This guidance does not indicate the types of debts that may be considered irrecoverable at law or indicate debt amounts that may be considered uneconomical to pursue. Further, the guidance is outdated as it refers to the Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997 that was replaced by the Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act 2013 on 1 July 2014. The ATO advised the ANAO that it is updating these procedures and expects to publish them by 30 June 2016.

**Deceased clients**

3.20 Debts associated with deceased clients are the largest write-off category for HELP. Compulsory repayments relating to the period prior to a person’s death are to be paid from the estate and the remainder of the HELP debt balance is written-off.\(^{27}\) As indicated in Figure 3.4, $67.5 million in HELP debt associated with deceased clients has been written-off since 2004–05.

**Figure 3.4:** Value of written off debt associated with deceased clients, 2004–05 to 2014–15

![Graph showing value of written off debt associated with deceased clients from 2004-05 to 2014-15](image)

Note *: When the Integrated Core Processing system was introduced in 2010, the HELP debt write-off functionality was not available. Consequently, write-offs were not processed in 2010–11 and 2011–12. The large increase in 2012–13 is the result of processing the backlog of these cases.

Source: ANAO analysis of ATO information.

3.21 The ATO receives files containing data on deceased individuals from the state and territory registries of births, deaths and marriages. Client files are updated with a date of death and HELP debts are written-off when a final income tax return is submitted for the deceased customer. If after three years, no final income tax return is submitted, the debt can be written-off. The ATO has guidance available to assist staff with writing off these debts.

---

\(^{27}\) Trustees and executors are responsible for lodging income tax returns.
Waiver

3.22 Section 63 of the Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act 2013 enables the Minister for Finance to waive an amount owing to the Commonwealth. Debts that have been waived cannot be pursued at a later date. Guidance issued by the Department of Finance (Finance) indicates that waivers should not be considered when there are other alternatives available. Since 2004–05, approximately $650 000 of HELP debt has been waived.

3.23 To apply for a waiver, payees can lodge an application with Finance or the ATO, and the ATO can also lodge a waiver request on behalf of a payee to Finance. The ATO is required to lodge a submission to Finance in response to a waiver application. In 2013–14 and 2014–15, the ATO was required to provide submissions to Finance for 27 waiver requests. The ATO supported six of these requests and Finance waived a total of seven debts.

3.24 Where preparing a waiver submission for Finance, an ATO procedure indicates that the submission should include whether the ATO supports the debt waiver request, but does not provide guidance for determining this position. For example, the procedure does not identify factors that should be considered in relation to the request or provide examples of the circumstances in which the ATO may support a waiver request. Further, both procedures are outdated in that they refer to the Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997.

3.25 Waiver submissions are prepared and reviewed by two ATO officials. While not documented, the ATO advised the ANAO that there is an understanding among these officers of the types of waiver requests that the ATO would support. Should responsibility for preparing submissions be disseminated more widely, or be allocated to other officers, there would be merit in the ATO providing guidance on the circumstances in which the ATO would support a request for waiver.

Deferment of compulsory repayments

3.26 Payees can apply for a compulsory repayment deferment for the current, previous and next financial years. Deferring a compulsory repayment does not reduce the payee’s accumulated debt value, as the value of the compulsory repayment remains part of the total accumulated debt and continues to be indexed on an annual basis. In 2014–15, the ATO processed 2166 applications for deferment and approved a total of $5.9 million in HELP repayments to be deferred.

---

28 Power to waive a debt has been delegated by the Minister for Finance to Finance officials.
30 The submission should include: information about the debt and whether the relevant agency has considered other debt management strategies; relevant history and other information about the case; and whether the agency supported the application. Applicants are given the opportunity to review the submission before a decision is made.
31 Finance received 51 waiver and act of grace applications in relation to HELP debts. This audit did not examine act of grace payments.
32 Higher Education Support Act 2003 (Cth) s 154-45.
3.27 Payees can apply on the grounds of severe financial hardship; or for other reasons that make it fair and reasonable to defer the payment. Payees can also apply to defer their compulsory repayment in full or in-part by specifying the amount they seek to defer. Figure 3.5 illustrates that, with the exception of 2009–10 and 2010–11, the outcome of deferment applications has remained relatively stable since 2011–12. Most applications are at least partially allowed and only 21.9 per cent applications have been denied since 2009–10. Further, as a proportion of compulsory repayments, the total value of deferments approved each year has remained relatively stable.

**Figure 3.5: Outcomes of HELP deferment applications since 2009–10**

![Outcomes of HELP deferment applications since 2009–10](chart)

Note: The ‘number of applications’ refers to deferment applications processed in the relevant financial year. Source: ANAO analysis of ATO information.

3.28 The ATO is not meeting the processing timeframes for deferments. Applications are required to be processed within 28 days. As at 9 August 2015, the ATO had received 21 applications for deferment, of which five were 29 (or more) days old. These results are consistent with the ATO’s historical performance as illustrated in Figure 3.6.

33 Fair and reasonable factors may include that the payee has been impacted by a natural disaster or has experienced serious illness or death within their family. *Higher Education Support Act 2003 (Cth)* s 154-45.

34 Payees can only seek a partial deferment if an income tax return has been lodged for the relevant income year.

35 The ATO advised the ANAO that the likely cause of the increased number of applications was issues associated with the introduction of the Integrated Core Processing system in 2010 that delayed the processing of deferment applications.

36 The ANAO reviewed the value of deferments from 2004–05 to 2014–15. During this period the total value of deferments as a proportion of compulsory repayments raised varied between 0.3 per cent in 2008–09 and 0.8 per cent in 2004–05 and 2010–11. In 2014–15, the total value of deferments as a proportion of compulsory repayments raised was 0.6 per cent.
3.29 If payees are dissatisfied with the outcome of their deferment application, they can apply to the ATO to have the decision reviewed. In 2014–15, of 718 deferment applications that were denied or partly approved, there were 353 applications for review, of which: 42 were denied, 170 were approved, 135 were partially approved and six were withdrawn. With 86 per cent of these review outcomes favouring applicants to some extent, the ATO should disseminate the outcomes of these reviews to improve the quality of decision-making as well as consider whether additional guidance or training should be implemented to better support original decision makers.

3.30 If payees are then dissatisfied with the ATO’s review decision, they can apply to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal for a review of the ATO’s decision within 28 days of receiving the ATO’s review decision. In 2014–15, the Administrative Appeals Tribunal received three applications for review; two of these were subsequently withdrawn and one was dismissed as it could not be reviewed by the Administrative Appeals Tribunal.

**Does the ATO have quality assurance arrangements to support the accurate recording of HELP repayments, discounts, write-offs, waivers and deferments?**

The ATO has quality assurance arrangements in place to support the accurate recording of HELP repayments, discounts, write-offs, waivers and deferments, particularly through its Service Delivery Quality Framework and Service Delivery Coaching Framework. Assessments conducted as part of the Service Delivery Quality Framework indicated that the main team responsible for administering HELP is performing strongly. There is scope to improve arrangements to check these quality assessments.

An enterprise-wide quality assurance framework aimed at identifying systemic issues, ATO Quality, has not yet covered HELP administration.

---

37 The ATO does not maintain a register of deferment reviews. These figures are based on the number of original deferment forms that have been changed and applying an assumption that the change was due to a review.
The ATO’s quality assurance activities form part of a broader governance framework involving three lines of defence. ATO quality assurance activities sit within the first and second lines of defence, and in relation to HELP include:

- activities undertaken through the Service Delivery Quality Framework and coaching and assurance programs of service delivery business areas (first line of defence); and
- the enterprise-wide process, ATO Quality (second line of defence).\(^\text{38}\)

**First line of defence**

In January 2015, the ATO introduced the Service Delivery Quality Framework to drive consistent quality across service delivery areas, regardless of business line or the type of work being actioned. Under this framework, each officer has three pieces of work assessed per month, rated as one of four categories (exceeds standard, met standard, met standard with feedback and standard not met) against eight criteria.\(^\text{39}\) If an officer achieves a result of 100 per cent\(^\text{40}\) for three consecutive months then the number of assessments is reduced to two in the following months.

While three pieces of work is not a statistically valid sample, applying a smaller sample size to quality assurance activities carries a lower risk with an experienced processing team that is likely to have a minimal error rate. However, in mid-2015, the ATO expanded HELP processing responsibility to include a team of 20 staff who had not previously carried out this type of work. Depending on the results of subsequent assessments, the ATO should consider applying a statistically valid sampling approach to quality assurance activities in relation to work completed by staff who are relatively unfamiliar with the content.

Monthly reports outlining the results of the Service Delivery Quality Framework assessments are distributed to the Service Delivery Deputy and Assistant Commissioners and can be accessed by all ATO staff on a central intranet site. Between January 2015 and June 2015, an average of 50 pieces of work completed by the Higher Education Loans Accounts team was assessed each month.\(^\text{41}\) The assessment results indicate that the team was performing strongly. On average, only 0.8 per cent of cases were assessed as ‘met standard with feedback’ and only 0.3 per cent were assessed as ‘standard not met’ compared to 4.4 per cent and 3.0 per cent, respectively, for the Business Exceptions Management section.\(^\text{42}\)

Quality assessors are also subject to review, as illustrated in Table 3.2.

---

38 Broadly, the first line of defence relates to activities undertaken by line management, the second line of defence relates to organisational activities independent of line management and the third line of defence relates to the independent assurance functions, such as internal audit.

39 The eight criteria are: correct interpretation; communication style; protocols, guidelines and procedures; proof of record of ownership/privacy/secrecy; ATO values, behaviours and code of conduct; system use; interaction handled efficiently; and correct/effective resolution.

40 A 100 per cent result means that all work was assessed as ‘exceeds standard’, ‘met standard’ or ‘met standard with feedback’.

41 The Higher Education Loans Accounts team is responsible for processing HELP transactions.

42 HELP is administered within the Business Exceptions Management section of the Client Account Services business line.
Table 3.2: Reviews of quality assessors’ decisions under the Service Delivery Quality Framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of check</th>
<th>Description of check</th>
<th>Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Check-the-checker reviews</td>
<td>Assessors have their completed quality assessments checked for accuracy, alignment and relevancy for coaching each month. The results of the assessments are provided to the team leaders of the quality assessors for coaching purposes.</td>
<td>Number of checks undertaken.¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Standard not met’ outcome reviews</td>
<td>A sample of assessments resulting in the rating of ‘standard not met’ is reviewed for accuracy. The performance target for this check is that 30 per cent of reviews do not result in a varied assessment.</td>
<td>Number of reviews undertaken, recommended for variation and varied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment reviews</td>
<td>ATO officers who disagree with their assessment outcome can request a review.</td>
<td>Number of reviews lodged and overturned.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: A target for the sample size was in place however this target was removed in May 2015. Source: ANAO analysis of ATO information.

3.36 None of the reviews have check frequency targets and only the ‘standard not met’ outcome reviews have a performance target. There is:

- no check frequency target for the check-the-checker results. Previously, each assessor was to have had three pieces of work assessed, however this requirement was removed in May 2015 (following four months when the target was not met). Consequently, the ATO has no measure of the extent of checking and whether assessments are accurate or in alignment with the Service Delivery Quality Framework;
- no check frequency target and an extremely low performance target of 30 per cent in place for ‘standard not met’ outcome reviews, implying that the ATO will accept a 70 per cent error rate among its assessors; and
- no performance target for assessment reviews, which could focus on reducing the number of reviews that result in an assessment being overturned.

3.37 To address these shortcomings, the ANAO suggests that the ATO reassess its targets and reporting for the quality assessor reviews to focus on improving the accuracy of quality assessors’ assessments.

3.38 In addition to the Service Delivery Quality Framework, in December 2014 the ATO introduced the Service Delivery Coaching Framework. Under the framework, team leaders are expected to have a coaching conversation with each team member once a month. The basis of the conversation is the My Contribution report, which outlines staff members’ performance in relation to indicators associated with the four high level areas of: productivity, quality, skilling and capability, and attendance and wellbeing. A survey of 1000 frontline staff in June 2015, indicated that the ATO could improve the consistency with which these monthly conversations are held as on average 72 per cent of survey respondents had a conversation each month, although this was trending downwards, and 13 per cent had no conversations in the preceding four months.⁴³

---

⁴³ On 28 May 2015, the survey was issued to a random sample of 1000 frontline staff, of which there were 269 (27 per cent) respondents.
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Second line of defence

3.39 ATO Quality, introduced in July 201444, is the ATO’s enterprise-wide quality assurance system. With a primary focus on quality from the taxpayer’s perspective, the ATO Quality framework was designed to help track the ATO’s performance towards achieving the ATO’s 2020 vision.45 Part of the role of ATO Quality is also to challenge and validate information provided by first line of defence quality assurance activities and to hold the first line to account for implementing quality.

3.40 ATO Quality involves a quarterly assessment process of a statistically valid sample of ATO transactions (around five per cent) against four elements: customer service; accountability; accuracy; and performance. Each element is graded one of four ways: exceeded; achieved; improvement needed; and considerable improvement needed.46 ATO Quality assessments are intended to be tailored based on risk.47

3.41 These assessments aim to identify critical or systemic issues that are then allocated to an area for action. Issues are monitored quarterly by the ATO’s Audit and Risk Committee through an ATO Quality Report. The reports present high-level results against the four ATO Quality criteria for the preceding quarter. HELP administration was not presented as a focus in these reports.

---

44 The Client Account Services business line was incorporated into the ATO Quality program in October 2014.
45 By 2020, the ATO intends to be ‘a leading taxation and superannuation administration known for our contemporary service, expertise and integrity’. C Jordan, (Commissioner of Taxation), ‘Reinventing the ATO’, media release, Tax Institute of Australia 29th National Convention, Hobart, 27 March 2014.
46 The ATO defines these terms as follows: ‘exceeded’ is where the ATO exceeded the client’s expectations and the ATO’s standards; ‘achieved’ is where the ATO met the client’s expectations and ATO’s standards; ‘improvement needed’ is where the ATO fell short of the client’s expectations and the ATO’s standards, but the right outcome for the client was still achieved; and ‘considerable improvement needed’ is where the ATO significantly failed to meet the client’s expectations and the ATO’s standards.
47 Higher risk areas (based on higher complexity, lower transparency, certain behaviour and choices) should involve greater quality oversight. Work identified as medium to high risk should involve assurance activities based on statistically valid samples (at the enterprise level) and a defined methodology. Process reviews should be used to assure the quality of new, minimal and low touch work.
4. Risk management and compliance

Areas examined
This chapter examines the ATO’s and Education’s risk management approaches. It also discusses
the ATO’s compliance activities, including its communication strategy for encouraging
compliance.

Conclusion
The ATO and Education do not meet their risk management responsibilities under the
Memorandum of Understanding, and consequently do not effectively manage HELP risks. The
agencies provide a range of information to support voluntary compliance with HELP obligations,
including through a draft communication strategy. The two agencies also undertake compliance
activities to detect and deter non-compliant behaviour among HELP participants. However,
these activities are not supported by a compliance and enforcement strategy informed by an
assessment of HELP risks.

Areas for improvement
The ANAO made two recommendations aimed at the ATO and Education developing risk
management plans and sharing relevant risks as required under the Memorandum of
Understanding (paragraph 4.13), and developing a compliance strategy (paragraph 4.46).

The ANAO also made five suggestions aimed at: enhancing the ATO’s communication strategy
(paragraph 4.21); including additional information in the ATO’s and Education’s HELP
information products (paragraphs 4.24, 4.25 and 4.27); and monitoring the target populations
of the ATO’s non-lodger program in relation to HELP payees (paragraph 4.39).

Do risk management arrangements support the effective management
of HELP risks?

Existing risk management arrangements do not support the effective management of HELP
risks. The risk management arrangements outlined in the Memorandum of Understanding
should assist with the effective management of HELP risks. However, neither Education nor
the ATO have met their respective risk management requirements under this agreement.

4.1 Under the Memorandum of Understanding, Education and the ATO are required to:

- maintain risk management plans, in line with their respective risk management policies
  and procedures, for the services outlined in the Memorandum of Understanding that
  they are responsible for delivering; and

- raise any matters that are likely to have risk management impacts for the other’s
  administration of HELP.

Risk management plans

Education’s management of risk

4.2 Education has risk management plans in place for FEE-HELP, SA-HELP and VET FEE-HELP,
but does not have plans for HECS-HELP and OS-HELP. It also has a risk management plan for
the Higher Education Funding Branch. Having these plans in place, however, does not meet
Education’s responsibilities under the Memorandum of Understanding as the intent of the
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requirement was to capture and address the risks associated with the services delivered by each party.

4.3 For Education, the services specified in the Memorandum of Understanding are providing the quarterly student debt files to the ATO and providing accurate CHESSN reports. The FEE-HELP and VET FEE-HELP risk management plans both identify the risk of providers submitting false or misleading information or not providing necessary information, which is relevant to Education’s transfer of data to the ATO. By preparing a risk management plan that examines this risk in more detail and reflects other risks specific to these services, Education could focus more effectively on resolving some of the shortcomings associated with the data collection process outlined in Chapter 2.

4.4 Consistent with Education’s Risk Management Framework and Policy (October 2014), the risk management plans have been stored in the department’s official risk register (RiskActive), and included scheduled review points. The framework also requires that internal and external fraud is considered as part of assessing risks. Across the four risk management plans, there were ten risks categorised as fraud related—indicating that Education’s framework is conducive to identifying compliance risks associated with HELP.

4.5 Management of HELP risks rated as ‘high’ could better align with the department’s risk management framework and policy. The VET FEE-HELP risk management plan includes seven operational risks and six departmental risks rated as ‘high’. The Higher Education Funding Branch risk management plan identified a further three departmental and operational risks rated as ‘high’. These risks should be monitored every three months according to the framework and policy, however, the review dates for these plans are 12 months in advance, and in October 2015 the Higher Education Funding Branch risk management plan had not been reviewed for approximately 17 months.

The ATO’s management of risk

4.6 The ATO has not developed a risk management plan for HELP.

4.7 Under the Memorandum of Understanding, the ATO is responsible for delivering a series of reports to Education as well as providing data to the Australian Government Actuary.

4.8 The ATO’s risk management framework refers to four risk categories: strategic, enterprise, operational and tactical. Enterprise risks are mapped to the ATO’s six strategic risks in an enterprise wide risk register. The framework indicates that enterprise and operational risks are

48 For VET FEE-HELP, this is rated as ‘high’ from an operational perspective and ‘medium’ from a departmental perspective, and for FEE-HELP, it is rated as ‘medium’ from both perspectives.

49 The risk management framework indicates that Education prefers not to accept risks rated as ‘high’ or ‘extreme’.

50 The Australian Government Actuary uses this information to estimate the value of HELP debt that is unlikely to be collected. Education uses this estimate to impair the value of HELP debt in its financial statements (refer to Chapter 5).

51 The ATO defines: strategic risks as those affecting the sustainability of the organisation; enterprise risks as those affecting the whole organisation; operational risks as those limited to one or two business areas; and tactical risks as those usually associated with specific events or activities within a team.

52 These risks relate to: revenue collection, information and communications technology systems, financial management, people capability, service expectations and relationships.
to be managed through business line plans, and tactical risks should be managed through team plans.

4.9 Six risk assessments have been completed for the Client Account Services business line, and none of the risk assessments include risks associated with HELP. Further, while the framework indicates that tactical risks are managed through team plans, the ATO advised that business areas are not required to maintain their own risk registers. The ATO was not required to maintain risk management plans on aspects of the administration of HELP under its risk management policies and procedures, although it was required under the Memorandum of Understanding to develop a risk plan. Consequently, there is no explicit coverage of HELP risks by the ATO. Further, the ATO has not conducted an assessment of compliance risks associated with the services it is responsible for delivering under the Memorandum of Understanding, including the collection and administration of HELP debt.

Communication about risks

4.10 The Memorandum of Understanding between Education and the ATO states that the Management Committee’s role includes managing risks and formulating strategies to minimise risks. According to the Memorandum of Understanding, the Management Committee should meet at least four times a year, and may also meet on an ad-hoc basis.

4.11 Meetings between Education and the ATO, referred to as Higher Education Meetings, have occurred on a regular basis. While these meetings have discussed a range of issues, there has been no formal and explicit risk assessments and mitigation strategies discussed and shared in these meetings.

4.12 Education’s risk management plans identify risks, including risks associated with non-compliant behaviour of providers and payees, which could be considered jointly by the ATO and Education. Accordingly, the ATO and Education need to share their risk assessments to ensure that administration of HELP effectively mitigates risks to an acceptable level. Introducing a standing agenda item on risk management for the Higher Education Meetings could better facilitate the sharing of relevant risks between Education and the ATO.

---

53 According to the Memorandum of Understanding, the Management Committee is made up of the Memorandum of Understanding managers from each agency.
Recommendation No.2

4.13 To improve the management of risks associated with the Higher Education Loan Program and support Education’s and the ATO’s compliance with the risk management requirements set out in the Memorandum of Understanding, the ANAO recommends that Education and the ATO:

(a) develop risk management plans for their respective services under the Memorandum of Understanding; and
(b) introduce a standing agenda item for risk management at Higher Education Meetings to facilitate discussion of relevant risks.

**The ATO’s response:** Agreed.

4.14 The ATO is committed to working with Education to identify and manage the risks associated with the Higher Education Loan Program, in order to support compliance with the risk management requirements set out in the Memorandum of Understanding.

**Education’s response:** Agreed.

4.15 The department is working with the ATO to develop a more comprehensive risk management plan. The department also now has a formal and comprehensive risk plan in place for HELP, and has introduced risk management as a standing agenda item for department/ATO meetings.

Do the ATO and Education effectively support individuals who are willing to meet their HELP obligations?

The ATO and Education provide sufficient information to support individuals willing to meet their HELP obligations. These individuals have ready access to information about most aspects of the scheme, particularly through the agencies’ websites and ATO social media posts. However, some inaccurate and incomplete information has been provided. In finalising its HELP communication strategy, it would be useful for the ATO to outline a forward work program.

The ATO ceased mailing out account statements in 2013, without introducing an alternative means of informing payees of changes to their HELP debt. Consequently, there is an increased risk of students being unaware of incurring a HELP debt.

Communication strategy

4.16 The ATO developed a draft communication strategy for income contingent loan debts, including HELP debts. The strategy outlines communication channels and relevant target audiences. Under the strategy, loan recipients and intermediaries are to be supported to use a

---

54 The ATO is also responsible for administering debt collection for other income contingent loans, for example, the Student Financial Supplement Scheme.

55 The strategy identifies four target audiences: loan recipients; internal stakeholders; intermediaries; and government agencies and associated stakeholders. For payees, the strategy identifies their HELP statements; letters; the ATO App; myGov; ATO Online and social media as the relevant communication channels.
range of products and channels tailored to their specific needs, although online and other digital services are to be the driving focus in delivering messages to targeted audiences.

4.17 From April 2014 to October 2015, the ATO posted 51 items on various social media channels relating to HELP, as illustrated in Figure 4.1. Around half (26) of the posts were about voluntary repayments or the voluntary repayment bonus. Not all posts were across all channels. For example, posts about using e-tax\textsuperscript{56} to estimate compulsory repayment amounts have been limited to Twitter.

**Figure 4.1:** Topic of HELP posts by channel from April 2014 to October 2015

![Figure 4.1: Topic of HELP posts by channel from April 2014 to October 2015](image)

- **VR**: Facebook
- **VR bonus**: Twitter
- **Account balance**: LinkedIn
- **Indexation**: Twitter
- **HECS-HELP Benefit**: LinkedIn
- **Providing TFN**: Twitter
- **MyGov**: LinkedIn
- **Estimate repayment**: Twitter

Note*: ‘VR’ stands for voluntary repayment.

Source: ANAO analysis of ATO information.

4.18 The ATO collects a range of information in relation to the impact of individual posts, including whether the post has been ‘liked’, ‘shared’ or clicked on. A consistent measure across all three channels is the audience engagement rate\textsuperscript{57}.

4.19 For a sample of four posts across all of the social media channels, Facebook was the most effective for audience engagement as illustrated in Figure 4.2.

\textsuperscript{56} e-tax is software that can be downloaded from the ATO’s website which enables users to lodge their tax returns online.

\textsuperscript{57} The engagement rate is derived from the number of engagements divided by the number of impressions. Engagements are measured by audience interaction with the post. Examples of interaction include: for Facebook, clicking on a post; for LinkedIn, liking, commenting on or sharing a post; and, for Twitter, retweeting or responding to a post. Impressions are measured by the number of times a post is viewed.
Figure 4.2: Engagement rates for four HELP posts across the three different social media channels

Source: ANAO analysis of ATO information.

4.20 The ATO could also measure whether the intended impact is achieved for posts encouraging payees to undertake some form of action. Examples include monitoring the number and value of voluntary repayments following related posts, and monitoring the number of visits to ATO Online following posts about accessing accounts through myGov. This would provide a further indication of the effectiveness of posts.

4.21 The draft communication strategy identifies a number of evaluation indicators for each target audience group. For example, the indicators for payees are that: they have a positive experience using the ATO’s channels; and know where to go for help. However, the strategy does not outline the processes for collecting data to inform these indicators. The draft communication strategy also does not outline a forward work program. The ANAO suggests that when finalising the communication strategy, the ATO specify how data will be collected to inform the evaluation indicators and outline a forward program of work.

Information for payees

General information

4.22 General information about HELP debts and repayments is provided on the ATO’s website and on Education’s website, Study Assist. The websites contain relevant information relating to the key administrative aspects of HELP debts and repayments, such as voluntary and compulsory repayments, deferring compulsory repayments, debt write-offs and indexation. Both websites also refer payees to the ATO and myGov for additional information.

---

58 myGov is a log-on account for Australians to access their government online accounts such as the ATO, Child Support and Medicare.

4.23 Minor enhancements that could be made to the Study Assist website include updating the Pay back my loan webpage to refer payees to myGov as well as to the ATO phone number to obtain information about their HELP debt. Education could also consider including the Australian Securities and Investments Commission’s webpage, Paying Off Your Uni Debt, as one of the links to other websites containing relevant information to higher education.  

4.24 The ATO’s website includes a range of information relating to HELP, including: information on HELP loan types; bonuses, benefits and discounts; and deceased estates and bankruptcy. It also includes compulsory repayment and voluntary repayment calculators. The ATO’s website does not include information about debt remittance, which is included on the Study Assist website. As the department responsible for maintaining and collecting HELP debt, the ATO should consider either including information about the debt remittance process on its website or including a reference to the Study Assist website.

4.25 Further, while the Study Assist website indicates that the voluntary repayment bonus will be removed subject to the passage of legislation, the ATO’s website does not include this information. Prior to the two previous reductions in the voluntary repayment bonus, there were increases in voluntary repayments. The ANAO suggests that the ATO updates information on its website about the voluntary repayment bonus to help ensure that payees are aware of the planned change and enable them to take action, if desired.

4.26 Education also publishes brochures that provide general information about HELP as well as brochures for each type of loan. While the specific loan brochures do not include information on debt deferment and remittance, or the treatment of debt following bankruptcy and death, they do refer to the Commonwealth Supported Places (CSP) and Higher Education Loan Programme (HELP) Handbook 2015, which does contain this information.

4.27 None of the websites or brochures reviewed by the ANAO provided information in relation to the Minister for Finance debt waiver process. One document on the Study Assist website refers to the VET FEE-HELP Reform factsheet that outlines the proposed changes to VET FEE-HELP, including a streamlined waiver process, to be introduced in 2015 and 2016. The ATO refers to the Minister for Finance debt waiver process on its Payment, interest and penalties: Our general approach to debt collection webpage, but not on the webpages relating to HELP. The ANAO suggests that the ATO and Education revise its general information materials to include reference to the Minister for Finance’s debt waiver process.

**Information about individuals’ loan accounts**

4.28 To receive information about individual HELP accounts, payees are advised to either phone the ATO or log on to their ATO Online account through myGov. Payees can obtain a detailed statement of their HELP loan account by phoning the ATO, and following an enhancement to ATO

---


61 Legislation to remove the voluntary repayment bonus was passed in November 2015, and the bonus will be discontinued from 1 January 2017.
Online in 2015, can also view transactions made on their HELP loan account since 1 July 2014, as well as the balance of their HELP debts.\(^{62}\)

4.29 The 2015 enhancement was initially planned to make all HELP debt account transactions available to payees through ATO Online. However, the ATO advised that full HELP account statements were not made available to avoid confusing payees.\(^{63}\) Nevertheless, the ATO’s website advises that payees can view their HELP debt account online, including indexation amounts, bonuses, benefits and payments. The ATO should revise this advice to accurately reflect the information available.

4.30 The expected savings associated with making full HELP debt account information available to payees through ATO Online was $7.2 million over four years.\(^{64}\) The ATO advised the ANAO that the savings estimate was not revised in light of the adjusted scope of the enhancement, and that actual savings from the enhancement are not being tracked.

4.31 Following the introduction of HECS in 1989, in June of each year until 2013 the ATO mailed out statements through the postal system to payees whose accounts had recorded transactions during the preceding 12 month period. This process was discontinued in 2013 in recognition of advantages in providing account balances through other channels, particularly phone and online.

4.32 These alternative channels rely on payees to initiate contact with the ATO to obtain information about their HELP debt balance. The ATO did not replace the statement mail out with alternative ATO-initiated correspondence relating to changes in payees’ HELP debt balances. Consequently, people who may not know or understand that they have incurred a HELP debt and who do not earn an income above the compulsory repayment income threshold, will not be made aware of the debt. There is a particular risk associated with people who have incurred a VET FEE-HELP debt as a result of unscrupulous behaviour by some providers. These debts will continue to increase in value with the application of annual indexation.

**Information for employers**

4.33 Where employees have indicated that they have a HELP debt and their income is equal to or greater than the compulsory repayment income threshold, employers are required to withhold a larger proportion of their income through the Pay-As-You-Go withholding system.\(^{65}\)

4.34 The ATO advises employers of their responsibility to consider HELP debts (among other factors) when determining the amount to withhold from employees’ incomes. The ATO’s website provides weekly, fortnightly and monthly tax tables to assist employers to determine the amount

---

62 This enhancement was included as part of a broader program of work: *Priority Digital Services for Convenient Service Anytime, Anywhere*. The aim of this program is to provide regular releases of new or enhanced digital services to clients.

63 The confusion arises because individual transactions were not clearly labelled when HELP debt data was transferred from the ATO’s previous information technology system to the Integrated Core Processing system in 2010.

64 These savings were outlined in an internal business case for the enhancement.

65 Employers are not required to withhold a higher proportion of the employee’s income if the employee has completed a *Medicare levy variation declaration form* because they have a low family income.
of income they are required to withhold. 66 Those employers with their own payroll software can access the ATO’s website to obtain formulas for calculating withholding amounts for employees. 67

**Are there effective arrangements to address non-compliance with HELP requirements?**

The ATO and Education have a range of activities in place to detect and deter non-compliant behaviour among HELP participants, including business-as-usual and targeted compliance efforts. However, these activities are not supported by a risk-based compliance and enforcement strategy. Introducing such a strategy would assist the agencies to target compliance activities and provide assurance in relation to the mitigation of HELP compliance risks.

**Business-as-usual compliance activities**

4.35 Education advised the ANAO that it undertakes a range of provider and student compliance activities, including: verifying student data; monitoring students’ FEE-HELP and VET FEE-HELP lifetime limits; and reconciling the funds distributed to providers based on estimated student placements against actual student placement data.

4.36 The ATO undertakes general employer compliance activities, including desk and field audits, and business-as-usual payee compliance activities that include coverage of HELP. In 2013–14 and 2014–15, $34.2 million in HELP compulsory repayments were raised as a result of the ATO’s broader compliance activities. Included in this amount is $29.1 million raised as a result of the ATO’s activities targeting underreported income (paragraph 4.41). Table 4.1 illustrates the ATO compliance projects that raised the largest amount of compulsory repayments.

---


### Table 4.1: The ATO’s broad compliance activities that generated the largest HELP compulsory repayment amounts in 2013–14 and 2014–15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of payees</th>
<th>Amount raised</th>
<th>Average amount raised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2013–14</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Targeting clients who underreport their salary and wages.</td>
<td>2545</td>
<td>$3 110 131</td>
<td>$1222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>Targeting clients who underreport their interest earned on investments and bank deposits.</td>
<td>5633</td>
<td>$2 358 159</td>
<td>$451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnerships trust and unit trust</td>
<td>Targeting clients who underreport their trust income.</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>$1 413 484</td>
<td>$2404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Source Income Individual Repetitive Transfers</td>
<td>Targeting clients with regular overseas income.</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>$811 482</td>
<td>$3939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee share scheme non-lodger</td>
<td>Targeting clients where employee share scheme data suggests that they have failed to lodge an income tax return.</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>$757 478</td>
<td>$5964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014–15</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>$3 304 071</td>
<td>$1251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Health Rebate</td>
<td>Targeting clients with private health rebate discrepancies</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>$2 925 841</td>
<td>$3648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>3691</td>
<td>$1 704 424</td>
<td>$462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay-As-You-Go instalments Australian Business Number Voluntary Disclosure</td>
<td>Targeting clients who have an active Australian Business Number but who have not lodged an income tax return.</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>$1 301 596</td>
<td>$5065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership Trust Unit Non-Lodgers</td>
<td>Targeting clients where partnership trust unit data suggests that they have failed to lodge an income tax return.</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>$907 489</td>
<td>$4363</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ANAO analysis of ATO information.

4.37 Those projects shaded in grey in Table 4.1 are conducted under the ATO’s non-lodger and underreported income activities. The ATO has undertaken programs of work within these activities targeting HELP payees as outlined in paragraphs 4.38 to 4.42. The remaining three projects in Table 4.1 have generally resulted in a larger average amount of compulsory repayments being raised against payees.

**Non-lodger program**

4.38 Compulsory HELP repayments withheld by employers during the income year through Pay-As-You-Go withholdings are offset against payees’ debts once they lodge an income tax return and a compulsory repayment is raised. Where payees do not lodge their income tax returns...
by the required date (referred to as non-lodgers), the ATO overstates the amount of HELP debt as it has not been able to attribute those compulsory repayments to the individual.

4.39 In 2011–12, the ATO commenced a HELP non-lodger program to: encourage non-lodgers with a HELP debt to lodge their income tax returns; improve the accuracy of reporting the value of the outstanding accumulated debt; and maximise collections. As indicated in Table 4.2, approximately one-third of the non-lodgers targeted subsequently lodged income tax returns (averaging 2.4 returns per person) resulting in $207.7 million in compulsory repayments, and a further $9.7 million in voluntary repayments raised. Since 2013–14 the HELP non-lodger program has been discontinued, and HELP debtors are now only included in the standard non-lodger program conducted by the ATO. The ANAO suggests that the ATO monitors the HELP populations to ensure that the standard non-lodger program is as successful as the HELP non-lodger program in raising liabilities.

Table 4.2: HELP non-lodger program results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated number of non-lodgers with a HELP debt</td>
<td>52 688</td>
<td>24 416</td>
<td>74 159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of non-lodgers targeted</td>
<td>20 763</td>
<td>15 476</td>
<td>50 000¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of clients who lodged tax returns</td>
<td>10 365</td>
<td>9 041</td>
<td>10 121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tax returns lodged</td>
<td>26 699</td>
<td>22 437</td>
<td>22 742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of compulsory repayments raised</td>
<td>$74.7 million</td>
<td>$65.0 million</td>
<td>$68.0 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of voluntary repayments received</td>
<td>$3.7 million</td>
<td>$3.0 million</td>
<td>$3.0 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Included in this population were payees who had been contacted in the first two programs but who had still not lodged a tax return as well as payees who had not been previously targeted.

Source: ANAO analysis of ATO data.

Underreported income program

4.40 In 2013, the ATO identified that some HELP payees were engaging in income tax return bunching.⁶⁸ Payees were underreporting elements of their income either accidentally or purposefully—potentially as a means to avoid incurring compulsory repayments.

4.41 The ATO had an existing program of work aimed at detecting underreported income, and advised that, in 2013–14 and 2014–15, this work resulted in $29.1 million in HELP compulsory repayments. In 2013, this program was expanded to incorporate a pilot targeted at 358 payees with a HELP debt for the 2010–11 income tax year. The pilot resulted in the finalisation of 352 cases with $789 025 of compulsory repayments raised. In 2014, the ATO continued this work, reporting having finalised 2545 cases and raised approximately $3.5 million of compulsory repayments.

4.42 There was a significant decrease in the average amount of compulsory repayments raised per person between 2013 ($2242) and 2014 ($1357). The ATO advised the ANAO it has not

⁶⁸ The ATO refers to bunching when taxpayers report an income that is marginally below a key income threshold in the taxation system, for example the HELP compulsory repayment income threshold.
analysed the reasons for this difference. Monitoring the average return from the 2015 work would help the ATO to determine whether this activity provides an efficient use of its resources.

Compliance and enforcement strategy

4.43 While Education and the ATO undertake a mix of business-as-usual and targeted compliance activities, this program of work is not supported by a comprehensive assessment of compliance risks or a compliance and enforcement strategy. Undertaking such an assessment and using it to develop a compliance and enforcement strategy would provide assurance that Education and the ATO are effectively identifying and mitigating compliance risks relevant to both agencies’ respective administrative responsibilities. For example, the ATO could monitor and report to Education on providers’ compliance with requests to remit student debts that could not be matched to an ATO client record. In turn, Education can use this information to inform provider risk profiles (Chapter 3).

4.44 Developing a coordinated HELP compliance strategy informed by an assessment of risks would also assist the two agencies to ensure that compliance efforts are most effectively targeted. For example, without explicit consideration of the implications for HELP, the ATO has rolled the more successful of the two HELP compliance programs (non-lodgers) into a broader program, while the less successful program will continue. Further, the extent of compulsory repayment collections generated from a number of its business-as-usual activities (refer Table 4.1) suggests that the ATO could consider whether overall improved debt collection outcomes are likely to be achieved with additional targeted HELP compliance programs.

4.45 This strategy should include elements of voluntary compliance to support those payees willing to comply with their HELP obligations and active compliance to detect and deal with those not willing to comply. The strategy should also be risk-based and proportionate, to enable the agencies to target their limited resources appropriately.

Recommendation No.3

4.46 To provide assurance that compliance risks associated with HELP are effectively identified and mitigated, the ANAO recommends that the ATO and Education work together to develop a risk-based compliance and enforcement strategy for HELP.

The ATO’s response: Agreed.

4.47 The ATO is committed to working with Education to develop a co-ordinated risk-based compliance strategy that will assist in identifying and dealing with risks associated with each department’s administration responsibilities.

Education’s response: Agreed.

4.48 The department and ATO currently have a range of risk-based compliance strategies in place for HELP. However, there is no single overarching document that describes the various elements. The department is working with the ATO to review and enhance these processes to ensure future compliance risks associated with HELP are effectively identified, documented and addressed in one place.
5. Monitoring and reporting HELP debt and repayments

Areas examined
This chapter examines Education’s and the ATO’s monitoring and reporting of HELP debt and repayments to support transparency and inform policy development.

Conclusion
Education and the ATO measure HELP performance through a range of deliverables and key performance indicators. While the deliverables provide measures of access, they do not reflect sustainability, which is a priority within the education sector. The two agencies report only limited information publicly relating to the program and could expand the information reported for the benefit of stakeholders. To effectively assess the sustainability of the program, and inform future policy development, Education needs a better understanding of the factors affecting repayments and the growth in HELP debt. The department has commenced a project to improve its capacity to analyse the factors that influence payee’s capacity to repay HELP debt.

Areas for improvement
The ANAO made two recommendations directed at improving the transparency of external HELP reporting (paragraph 5.10) and supporting informed decision making in relation to the program (paragraph 5.26). The ANAO also suggested that the ATO and Education review the HELP deliverables and key performance indicators and develop measures that better reflect program sustainability (paragraph 5.3).

Do Education and the ATO effectively measure HELP performance?
While there are deliverables and key performance indicators for HELP, there is insufficient measurement of sustainability, which is an increasing focus for the Australian Government in the education sector.

5.1 Education’s recent Portfolio Budget Statements have included five deliverables relating to the number of new HELP loans and three key performance indicators for the program, as illustrated in Table 5.1. The deliverables assist with measuring the aim of HELP, which is to ‘support access to higher education for Australian citizens and permanent humanitarian visa holders’.69

Monitoring and reporting HELP debt and repayments

Table 5.1: Deliverables and key performance indicators relevant to HELP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Commonwealth supported places for which HECS-HELP loans paid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>472 700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>484 681</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>497 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of places for which FEE-HELP loans paid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>90 700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>76 106</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>87 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of OS-HELP loans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>7 200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>6 373</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>12 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of SA-HELP loans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>402 900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>414 197</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>463 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of places for which VET FEE-HELP loans paid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>87 700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>65 838</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>172 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key performance indicators: Students who are able to repay their HELP debts do so

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average amount of debt ($)</td>
<td>16 800</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>17 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>16 900</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>18 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of years to repay debt</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of new debt not expected to be repaid (per cent)</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


5.2 Access to higher education is also a focus in Education’s Corporate Plan 2015–19, as well as the sustainability of Australian Government funding of the education sector. The deliverables and key performance indicators outlined in Table 5.1 do not reflect the sustainability objective.

5.3 Given the significant projected growth in HELP debt (refer to Figure 5.3), ongoing sustainability of the program should be measured. Appropriate measures of sustainability could include deliverables relating to the level of debt and amount of new debt and repayments. Further, the introduction of indicators reflecting compulsory and voluntary repayments would assist to measure the impact of activities undertaken by the ATO aimed at encouraging voluntary repayments and targeting non-compliant payees (Chapter 4). Education should review the deliverables and key performance indicators in place with a view to introducing measures that better reflect program sustainability.
Do Education and the ATO fully and accurately report on the level of HELP debt and repayments publicly?

The ATO and Education report limited information publicly on HELP, and should report a broader range of information—particularly in relation to the sustainability of the program—for the benefit of stakeholders, including Parliament. Further, the absence of detailed repayment information impacts on the accuracy of program forecasts.

External reporting

ATO reporting

5.4 The ATO reports on the value of HELP repayments in its annual reports. The value of HELP debt repayments is combined with the value of Student Financial Supplement Scheme repayments. Therefore, the value of HELP repayments is not transparent and the ATO should consider reporting these amounts separately.

5.5 In addition to its annual reports, the ATO also publishes Taxation statistics reports every 16 months. These reports contain demographic information relating to HELP debt and repayments, such as total HELP debt payable by gender and state/territory of residence.

Education reporting

5.6 Education reports on HELP in its annual reports and accompanying financial statements. Within the body of the annual report, Education’s HELP performance information is limited to reporting against the deliverables and key performance indicators outlined in its Portfolio Budget Statements (as shown in Table 5.1). In the accompanying financial statements, Education reports on the fair value of the HELP debt; that is, the value of debt expected to be repaid, and recognises the value of debt that is not expected to be repaid. Pursuant to paragraph 5.3, Education should review the performance information in its annual reports to ensure it reflects the Australian Government’s higher education priorities.

5.7 Education also publishes a Higher Education Report on its website. The report covers a three-year period and contains details of funding allocations under the Higher Education Support Act 2003 as well as an overview of the department’s progress towards achieving its attainment targets for higher education. This report focuses on the number of new loans being obtained and the rate of enrolment. However, similar to the HELP performance framework (paragraphs 5.2 and 5.3), there is limited information on quality and sustainability. Of particular significance is that the Higher Education Report does not include information about VET FEE-HELP, which is the fastest growing component of HELP debt. Further, as illustrated in Figure 5.1, the ANAO’s analysis of repayments by loan type from 2010–11 to 2012–13 indicated that VET FEE-HELP loans had the lowest repayment rate. This could be partly because VET FEE-HELP graduates commenced employment on lower starting salaries than FEE-HELP and HECS-HELP graduates, with relatively fewer reaching the income threshold for making repayments.


71 From 2013–14 to 2014–15, VET-FEE HELP debt increased by $1.1 billion (or 84.1 per cent). The second fastest growing component of debt was OS-HELP which grew by $25.3 million or 39 per cent.
5.8 While Education has advised the ANAO that it is currently developing a series of VET FEE-HELP reports to be published quarterly, it should also include VET FEE-HELP information in the Higher Education Report to provide a holistic picture of HELP.

*International comparison of external reporting*

5.9 As illustrated in Table 5.2, a comparison of public reporting in other countries of income contingent study assistance loans indicates that Australia reports a relatively narrow range of HELP information.
Table 5.2: International comparison of publicly available reports on student loans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reports on:</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>New Zealand</th>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>United States of America</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attainment levels</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding debt</td>
<td>✓¹</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance measures</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayments</td>
<td>✓²</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferments</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-offs</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>✓³</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modelling</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1:  Education publicly reports on the impaired value of the HELP debt.
Note 2:  The ATO publicly reports on the combined total amount of repayments collected for HELP and the Student Financial Supplement Scheme.
Note 3:  Education only reports on the demographics of new enrollees and attainment levels. The ATO reports on HELP debt by size, HELP debt by state/territory of residence and HELP debtors by HELP repayment income.
Source: ANAO analysis of international reports.

Recommendation No.4

5.10  To support the design of HELP and better inform key stakeholders in the higher education sector, the ANAO recommends that Education and the ATO expand the information provided publicly to include a broader range of information such as the growth in HELP debt and collection of repayments, overall and for the separate HELP programs.

The ATO’s response: Agreed.

5.11  The ATO is committed to assisting Education in providing information to enhance publically available information.

Education’s response: Agreed.

5.12  The department acknowledges the need to progressively review and improve available public information on HELP. The department is working with the Australian Government Actuary (AGA) and the ATO on the data improvement project with the view to providing a more comprehensive public dataset.

Intra-agency reporting

5.13  Education receives reports in relation to the HELP debt and repayments from the Australian Government Actuary and the ATO. These reports do not provide information about repayments by loan type or type of study and Education advised the ANAO that while it monitors the number of new debts by loan type, actual and forecast HELP debt repayments are only monitored at an aggregate level.
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**Australian Government Actuary reporting**

5.14 The Australian Government Actuary prepares an annual estimate of the value of HELP debt that is unlikely to be repaid. To address the matching problem outlined in Chapter 2, the Actuary matches records based on debt amounts incurred in the preceding five years. The Actuary has also developed longitudinal records for each HELP debt payee that forms the basis of its data analysis. In 2013, this approach enabled it to match 93 per cent of payee records, which was higher than the match rate of 81 per cent achieved in 2012.

5.15 The Actuary undertakes a range of analysis on HELP debt and repayments and provides this analysis to Education in an annual report. These reports provide information relevant to the design and sustainability of the program, including the value of debt and repayments by age and gender of payees, age of debt, proportion of payees who have never declared an income and the impact of policy changes such as the increase in the compulsory repayment threshold in 2004–05. In its 2013 report, the Actuary identified some of the limitations associated with the HELP data including that while the type of course undertaken by a payee would impact on their future earning capacity and consequently their repayment likelihood, this information could not be incorporated in its modelling as it was not provided by the ATO or Education. Further, in its 2015 report the Actuary acknowledged that repayment prospects may be poorer for VET FEE-HELP payees, however, there was insufficient income history available to project incomes for this group separately from the broader HELP population.

5.16 The absence of repayment information by loan type impacts on the accuracy of the estimated value of debt that is not expected to be repaid. The repayment characteristics of the VET-FEE HELP payee cohort are relatively unknown and VET FEE-HELP is the fastest growing component of HELP.

**The ATO’s reporting to Education**

5.17 Under the Memorandum of Understanding, the ATO is required to provide Education with five reports during the financial year. These reports assist to confirm the accuracy of information exchanged, provide information to Education about the level of debt and repayments, and provide program information such as the number of debtors who have never made a repayment.

5.18 These reports do not include repayments by loan type as the ATO’s Integrated Core Processing system is unable to attribute repayments to individual loans. That is, for payees with multiple loans, repayments are not attributed to each individual loan, instead they are offset against the payee’s total debt.

---

72 The ATO also provides Education with ad-hoc reports, which Education advised are usually in response to media enquiries, such as the average compulsory repayment amount.
Does Education adequately monitor and analyse HELP debt and repayment trends, to inform program design?

Education estimates that by 2024–25 outstanding HELP debt will total $192.5 billion and almost thirty per cent ($55.0 billion) will not be repaid. Despite its projections of strong, accelerating growth and high levels of HELP debt, Education was unable to demonstrate that it routinely monitored and analysed factors affecting the repayment of HELP debt. Improving its analysis of the growth in HELP debt and repayment rates would enable Education to better assess the sustainability of the program and inform program design. The Australian Government approved Education’s proposal for an enhanced HELP database in November 2015, which should improve Education’s capacity to forecast HELP debt repayment.

Education’s analysis of HELP debt and repayments

5.19 There is no complete dataset of HELP payees as Education records information on enrolment levels, courses and units of study, uptake of the various HELP loans, and the value of debt incurred, while the ATO records information on debt repayments, aggregate debt owed, and indexation and discounts applied.

5.20 Education has indicated that data limitations affect its ability to assess elements of program design. For example, the then Education Minister wrote to the then Prime Minister advising that data limitations delayed the department from progressing policy options for recovering repayments from overseas payees.

5.21 While somewhat limited in the analysis it can undertake, Education can better use the information it has available to analyse the growth in debt and value of debt that is unlikely to be repaid. Education was unable to demonstrate to the ANAO that it routinely monitored and analysed factors affecting the repayment of HELP debt. Such analysis could inform program design considerations. For example, Figure 5.2 illustrates the increasing value of new HELP debt being incurred by students aged 60 years and older. The average intended retirement age is 63.4 years old suggesting that much of this debt is unlikely to be repaid.
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Figure 5.2: Value of new HELP debt incurred by students aged 60 years and older

Source: ANAO analysis of Education information.

**Repayment forecasting**

5.22 Accurate repayment forecasting is necessary for informed program decision making. Education maintains estimates of the value of compulsory and voluntary repayments expected to be repaid. Under the Memorandum of Understanding, Education is required to provide these estimates for the current year and following three years, biannually to the ATO.  

5.23 Figure 5.3 illustrates that there has been consistent growth in HELP debt since 2005. From 2004–05 to 2014–15, the compound annual growth rate of HELP debt was 10.9 per cent per annum. Education estimates that from 2015–16 to 2024–25 there will be accelerated growth of the HELP debt with a compound annual growth rate of 14.5 per cent per annum. Drivers of this projected growth include higher education reform measures in the 2014-15 Mid-year Economic and Fiscal Outlook, including changes to expand funding for sub-bachelor places and non-university higher education providers, and the removal of caps for the student contribution amounts determined by providers. The growth also reflects a continued expansion in access to HELP loans through uncapped bachelor places at public universities and growth in vocational education and training students accessing VET FEE HELP loans.

5.24 In 2024–25, Education estimates that approximately $5.6 billion in HELP repayments will be collected while the HELP debt will increase by $23.9 billion in the same year—representing a net increase in HELP debt of $18.3 billion. Education advised the ANAO that it is difficult to accurately prepare long-term projections for HELP due to the various factors that can impact the levels of debt and repayments, such as employment and income levels and higher education participation rates.

---

74 Education is required to provide these reports on 31 January and 31 July each year.
75 These measures were scheduled to commence from 1 January 2016 but have been delayed subject to the passage of the legislation.
Figure 5.3: Net increase in HELP debt

Note 1: The increase in debt for the Actual component of the graph does not include the debt incurred for semester 1 of the financial year. The net repayment figures also do not include any retrospective corrections which will be reflected in the year the adjustment is processed.

Source: ANAO analysis of Education and ATO information.

5.25 There is a correlating opportunity cost to the Australian Government associated with the growing HELP debt. By 2024–25, Education expects that $55.0 billion of an estimated $192.5 billion outstanding HELP debt will not be repaid, and yet there is limited information available regarding the factors affecting the debt repayments.

Recommendation No.5

5.26 To enable informed decision making regarding HELP, the ANAO recommends that Education more fully analyses characteristics of debt and repayments, and consider this information to inform program design.

ATO’s response: Agreed.

5.27 The ATO is committed to providing reliable data to Education to assist them in analysing the characteristics of the HELP debt.

Education’s response: Agreed.

5.28 The department analyses the characteristics of debt and repayments in order to provide advice to government on future policy directions of HELP. However the department acknowledges that this analysis should be extended and improved. The department is currently implementing a HELP data improvement project, which is focused on working with the AGA and the ATO to build an expanded longitudinal database of HELP debtors. This database will include de-identified information relating to debtors, their likelihood of repaying that debt and factors that influence their capacity to repay. This will enable greater analysis of factors affecting HELP debt repayment and inform future policy and program design.
Database project

5.29 Recognising the benefit of having more detailed information in relation to payees and the factors that influence their capacity to repay HELP debt, Education received government approval in November 2015 to expand the current HELP database. The HELP database project is designed to create a single HELP database to enable records held by Education, the ATO and the Australian Government Actuary to be matched. As illustrated in Figure 5.4, the database is expected to provide de-identified information relating to payees with a HELP debt, their likelihood of repaying that debt and factors that influence their capacity to repay.

Figure 5.4: Preferred linkage design under the HELP database project

Source: Education, internal document.

5.30 The project will include the introduction of a data exchange platform, the MQ platform. The introduction of the MQ platform is expected to:

- reduce manually intensive processes associated with the data exchange and upload process (Chapter 2) by enabling the upfront verification of TFNs;
- increase the efficiency of the data transfer process by enabling the more timely transfer of data between Education and the ATO (within 24 hours); and
- enable Education to analyse the profile of debtors with an outstanding HELP debt.

---

76 Records held by the Department of Immigration and Border Protection may also be included in this database.
77 Factors that influence payees’ capacity to repay include: demographics such as age, gender, country of birth and equity groups; educational background such as field of study and education provider; tax characteristics such as occupation and declared income; and immigration status that could be used to identify whether a debtor is overseas.
5.31 Education advised the ANAO that the project could potentially provide an estimate of the value of debt that is unlikely to be repaid by taking into account a range of payee variables including age, gender, field of study and higher education provider. This information should improve the accuracy of Education’s HELP debt repayment forecasting.

5.32 Legislation supporting the project was passed by Parliament in November 2015. Education advised the ANAO that the project is expected to be completed in July 2017.

Grant Hehir
Auditor-General
Canberra ACT
5 May 2016
Appendices
Second Commissioner of Taxation

Ms Michelle Kelly
Group Executive Director
Performance Audit Services Group
Australian National Audit Office
GPO Box 707
CANBERRA ACT 2601

Dear Ms Kelly

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL AUDIT OFFICE PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF THE HIGHER EDUCATION LOAN PROGRAM

Thank you for your letter to Commissioner Jordan dated 17 March 2016 regarding the proposed report on Administration of Higher Education Loan Program Debt and Repayments. I am responding to you on behalf of the Commissioner.

The ATO agrees with the five recommendations as presented in the report. Attached is the ATO response to recommendations (Annexure 1), a summary of our comments to be included in the report (Annexure 2), and editorial comments for your consideration (Annexure 3).

I would like to thank the Australian National Audit Office audit team for the cooperative and professional manner they have adopted in working with us on this matter. I look forward to continuing the good working relationship developed during this performance audit.

If you require further information on this matter, please contact Assistant Commissioner, Kerry O’Loghlin on (08) 8218 9475.

Yours sincerely

Geoff Leeper
Second Commissioner
Australian Taxation Office

Date: 13 April 2016
### Annexure 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rec</th>
<th>ANAO recommendation</th>
<th>ATO response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The ANAO recommends that Education and the ATO simplify and improve the debt recording process by redesigning the controls for the initial recording, transfer and upload of HELP debts.</td>
<td>Agreed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The ATO is committed to improve the debt recording process. Following recent changes to the law, the ATO and the Department of Education are currently developing a Message Queue (MQ) platform which will streamline the process for reporting of debts to the ATO and allow for the redesign of the controls for more simpler and efficient recording, transfer and upload of HELP debts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The MQ platform is expected to be operational from 1 July 2017.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The ANAO recommends that Education and the ATO improve the management of risks associated with the Higher Education Loan Program so as to support compliance with the risk management requirements set out in the Memorandum of Understanding, by:</td>
<td>Agreed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) Education and the ATO developing risk management plans for their respective services under the Memorandum of Understanding; and</td>
<td>Comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) introducing a standing agenda item for risk management at Higher Education meetings to facilitate discussion of relevant risks.</td>
<td>The ATO is committed to working with Education to identify and manage the risks associated with the Higher Education Loan Program, in order to support compliance with the risk management requirements set out in the Memorandum of Understanding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The ANAO recommends that the ATO and Education work together to develop a risk-based compliance and enforcement strategy for HELP so as to provide assurance that compliance risks associated with HELP are effectively identified and mitigated.</td>
<td>Agreed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td>The ATO is committed to working with Education to develop a co-ordinated risk-based compliance strategy that will assist in identifying and dealing with risks associated with each department’s administration responsibilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The ANAO recommends that to support the design of HELP and better inform key stakeholders in the higher education sector, Education and the ATO expand the information provided publicly to include a broader range of information such as the growth in HELP debt and collection of repayments, overall and for the separate HELP programs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Agreed.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Comment:</strong> The ATO is committed to assisting Education in providing information to enhance publically available information.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The ANAO recommends that to enable informed decision making regarding HELP, that Education more fully analyse characteristics of debt and repayments, and consider this information to inform program design.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Agreed.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Comment:</strong> The ATO is committed to providing reliable data to Education to assist them in analysing the characteristics of the HELP debt.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annexure 2

Summary of ATO's response

The ATO welcomes this review and considers the report supportive of our overall approach to managing Higher Education Loan Program debts and repayments.

The review recognises the overall effectiveness of the administration of HELP debts and repayments and acknowledges the solid foundation the income tax system provides for collecting student loan debts. The review identified a number of opportunities for improvement in our risk management and compliance processes and with recent innovations and the implementation of a robust program of evaluation, a more efficient and effective administration of the program will be attained.

Whilst the ATO agrees with the five recommendations contained in the report, it is important to note the context in which ATO operational systems function when interpreting comments by the ANAO with regard to the HELP debt continuing to grow.

In addition to an increase in the number of individuals studying and deferring repayment of their debt through the ATO, the growth in HELP debt is also due to the fact that the scheme only requires repayment of the debt when a debtor earns income above a specific threshold, $54,125 in the 2015-16 income year. It is only at this point in time that the HELP debt becomes due and payable and for the purposes of collection and recovery the HELP debt becomes an income tax debt. Until this crystallisation occurs, the HELP debt remains a debt owing to the Commonwealth but not due and payable.

A person is less likely to reach this threshold when studying. The figures below illustrate this:

In the 2013-14 income year, of the 1,997,973 HELP debtors, the following occurred:
- 64.90% had a compulsory repayment raised;
- 35.10% had no compulsory repayment raised even though they had a HELP debt;
- 77.90% of debtors who had no compulsory repayments raised also had a further HELP debt loaded in that year.

The conclusion that can be drawn from these figures is that 77.9% of those debtors that had no compulsory repayment raised and had a HELP debt, were undertaking further study.
Ms Michelle Kelly  
Group Executive Director  
Performance Audit Services Group  
Australian National Audit Office  
GPO Box 707  
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Dear Ms Kelly  

Administration of Higher Education Loan Program Debt and Repayments  
Proposed Audit Report  

Thank you for your letter of 17 March 2016 seeking a response to the proposed audit report on the Administration of Higher Education Loan Program Debt and Repayments.  

The department’s summary response and responses to each of the recommendations in the proposed report are provided at Attachment A.  

If you would like further information on the department’s response, please contact Dr James Hart, Group Manager, Higher Education Group on (02) 6240 6850.  

Yours sincerely  

Michele Bruniges  
Dr Michele Bruniges AM  
14 April 2016
Department of Education and Training’s Response to ANAO recommendations on the Administration of Higher Education Loan Program (HELP) Debt and Repayments

Summary Response

The Department of Education and Training (‘the department’) acknowledges the Australian National Audit Office’s (ANAO) report on the Administration of Higher Education Loan Program (HELP) Debt and Repayments. HELP provides significant economic benefits for individuals and Australia’s communities including wider societal benefits such as reduced unemployment and welfare dependency. It also produces good repayment outcomes with around four in every five dollars of new HELP debt currently expected to be repaid.

The department supports the direction of the report’s recommendations including the need to consider improvements to elements of the administration of HELP and to data management, analysis and reporting. Significant work is already being undertaken by the department to address these priorities.

The department also agrees that a better public understanding of the factors affecting repayments and the growth in HELP debt is needed. Currently the department is implementing a HELP data improvement project (involving the department, ATO and the Australian Government Actuary). This project will enable greater analysis of the characteristics of HELP debtors, particularly to understand differences between those who repay and those who are below the repayment threshold. It will also enhance the department’s reporting on the program.

Further, the department acknowledges that existing risk management and compliance arrangements can better support the effective management of HELP risks. The department is currently implementing enhancements to its risk-based compliance and enforcement strategy, as well as working with the ATO to develop a more overarching comprehensive risk-management plan for HELP.

The department continuously reviews the program design and delivery of HELP. The findings highlighted in the ANAO audit will help contribute to strengthening the administration of HELP into the future.

Comments in relation to each of the report’s recommendations have been provided below.
**Recommendation No.1:** To simplify and improve the debt recording process, the ANAO recommends that Education and the ATO redesign the controls for the initial recording, transfer and upload of HELP debts.

**Response: Agree.** The department and the ATO are currently implementing Message Queue technology to support more timely and efficient transmission of data between the two agencies. In implementing these improvements, the department is seeking to build on current processes to realign data fields between both agencies’ systems and improve the completeness of student data.

**Recommendation No.2:** To improve the management of risks associated with the Higher Education Loan Program and support Education’s and the ATO’s compliance with the risk management requirements set out in the Memorandum of Understanding, the ANAO recommends that Education and the ATO:

a) develop risk management plans for their respective services under the Memorandum of Understanding; and

b) introduce a standing agenda item for risk management at Higher Education meetings to facilitate discussion of relevant risks.

**Response: Agree.** The department is working with the ATO to develop a more comprehensive risk management plan. The department also now has a formal and comprehensive risk plan in place for HELP, and has introduced risk management as a standing agenda item for department/ATO meetings.

**Recommendation No.3:** To provide assurance that compliance risks associated with HELP are effectively identified and mitigated, the ANAO recommends that the ATO and Education work together to develop a risk-based compliance and enforcement strategy for HELP.

**Response: Agree.** The department and ATO currently have a range of risk-based compliance strategies in place for HELP. However, there is no single overarching document that describes the various elements. The department is working with the ATO to review and enhance these processes to ensure future compliance risks associated with HELP are effectively identified, documented and addressed in one place.
Recommendation No. 4: To support the design of HELP and better inform key stakeholders in the higher education sector, the ANAO recommends that Education and the ATO expand the information provided publicly to include a broader range of information such as the growth in HELP debt and collection of repayments, overall and for the separate HELP programs.

Response: Agreed. The department acknowledges the need to progressively review and improve available public information on HELP. The department is working with Australian Government Actuary (AGA) and ATO on the data improvement project with the view to providing a more comprehensive public dataset.

Recommendation No. 5: To enable informed decision making regarding HELP, the ANAO recommends that Education more fully analyse characteristics of debt and repayments, and consider this information to inform program design.

Response: Agreed. The department analyses the characteristics of debt and repayments in order to provide advice to government on future policy directions of HELP. However the department acknowledges that this analysis should be extended and improved. The department is currently implementing a HELP data improvement project, which is focused on working with the AGA and the ATO to build an expanded longitudinal database of HELP debtors. This database will include de-identified information relating to debtors, their likelihood of repaying that debt and factors that influence their capacity to repay. This will enable greater analysis of factors affecting HELP debt repayment and inform future policy and program design.